arXiv:1608.05328v1 [math.AG] 18 Aug 2016

Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for locally finite modules over the absolute Galois group of a finite field *

Azniv Kasparian, Ivan Marinov

Abstract

The article provides a sufficient condition for a locally finite module M over the absolute Galois group $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ to satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. The condition holds for all smooth irreducible projective curves of positive genus, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. By construction of an explicit example we establish that the scope of our main result is larger than the class of the smooth irreducible projective varieties, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

1 Introduction

The absolute Galois group of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is isomorphic to the profinite completion

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Z}} = \{(l_m(\mathrm{mod}\, m))_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{Z}_m, +) \,|\, l_n \equiv l_m(\mathrm{mod}\, m) \ \text{ for } \ \forall m/n\}$$

of the infinite cyclic group $(\mathbb{Z}, +) = \langle \Phi_q \rangle$, generated by the Frobenius automorphism $\Phi_q : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$, $\Phi_q(a) = a^q$ for $\forall a \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. The diagonal map

$$\Delta : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}, \quad \Delta(z) = (z(\mathrm{mod}\, m))_{m\in\mathbb{N}} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}$$

with a dense image is an embedding, so that $\mathbb{Z}$ is residually finite.

A set M with an action of a group G will be called a G-module.

Definition 1. *A $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module M is locally finite if all $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits on M are finite and for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there are at most finitely many $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits on M of cardinality n.*

The degree of a finite $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) \subset M$ *is its cardinality,* $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) := |\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)|$.

The smooth irreducible projective curves $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, defined over a $\mathbb{F}_q$ are examples of locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-modules.

* *2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:* Primary: 14G15; Secondary: 94B27, 14G05, 11M38

Key words and phrases: The profinite completion of the infinite cyclic group, locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, ζ-function of a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module, Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line, finite coverings of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules with Galois closure.

Supported by Contract 144/2015 and Contract 57/12.04.2016 with the Scientific Foundation of Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia.

Definition 2. *If M is a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module then the formal power series*

$$\zeta_M(t) := \prod_{\nu \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(M)} \left(\frac{1}{1 - t^{\deg \nu}} \right) \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$$

is called the ζ-function of M.

In the case of a smooth irreducible curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, the ζ-function $\zeta_X(t)$ of X as a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module coincides with the local Weil ζ-function of X. We fix the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a basic model, to which we compare the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules M under consideration and recall its ζ-function

$$\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-qt)}.$$

Note also that $\zeta_M(0) = 1$.

Definition 3. *A locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ if*

$$P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i t^i = \prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - \omega_i t) \in \mathbb{C}[t]$$

is a polynomial with $|\omega_i| = \sqrt[d]{|\omega_1| \dots |\omega_d|} = \sqrt[d]{|a_d|}$ for $\forall 1 \leq i \leq d$.

In order to explain the etymology of the notion, let us plug in q^{-s}, $s \in \mathbb{C}$ in the ζ-function $\zeta_M(t)$ of M and view

$$\zeta_M\left(q^{-s}\right) = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (1 - \omega_i q^{-s})}{(1-q^{-s})(1-q^{1-s})} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{d} (q^s - \omega_i)}{q^{sd-2s+1}(1-q^s)(1-q^{s-1})}$$

as a meromorphic function of $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with poles at $s \in \{0, 1\}$. The complex zeros $s_o \in \mathbb{C}$ of $\zeta_M\left(q^{-s}\right)$ have $\omega_i = q^{s_o} = q^{\mathrm{Re}(s_o) + i\mathrm{Im}(s_o)}$, whereas $|\omega_i| = q^{\mathrm{Re}(s_o)}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq d$. Thus, M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ exactly when $\mathrm{Re}(s_o) = \lambda := \log_q \sqrt[d]{|a_d|} \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ for any zero $s_o \in \mathbb{C}$ of $\zeta_M(q^{-s})$. All smooth irreducible curves $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ of genus $g \geq 1$ satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ by the Hasse-Weil Theorem (cf.[1] or [7]). More precisely, $P_X(t) = \frac{\zeta_X(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \prod_{i=1}^{2g} (1 - \omega_i t)$ with $|\omega_i| = q^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $\forall 1 \leq i \leq 2g$, which is equivalent to $\mathrm{Re}(s_o) = \frac{1}{2}$ for all the complex zeros $s_o \in \mathbb{C}$ of $\zeta_X(q^{-s})$. That resembles the original Riemann Hypothesis $\mathrm{Re}(z_o) = \frac{1}{2}$ for the non-trivial zeros $z_o \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-2\mathbb{N})$ of Riemann's ζ-function

$$\zeta(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

The present article studies the ζ-function $\zeta_M(t)$ of a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module and provides a sufficient condition for M to satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis

Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. All properties of $\zeta_M(t)$ under consideration hold for a smooth irreducible projective curve $M = X$, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. By its very definition, $\zeta_M(t)$ is a local ζ-function. It is interesting to introduce arithmetic objects A, whose reductions modulo prime integers p are locally finite $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_p}/\mathbb{F}_p)$-modules and to study the global ζ-functions of A. Another topic of interest is the Grothendieck ring of the locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-modules and the constructions of motivic ζ-functions. Let us mention that our $\zeta_M(t)$ is essentially different from Igusa's p-adic ζ-function of a hypersurface and its Archimedean, global or motivic versions. Our study of the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module is motivated by Duursma's notion of a ζ-function $\zeta_C(t)$ of a linear code $C \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ and the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for $\zeta_C(t)$, discussed in [2]. Recently, ζ-functions have been used for description of the subgroup growth or the representations of a group, as well as of some properties of finite graphs.

We extract some features of Bombieri's proof [1] of the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for a smooth irreducible curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ of genus $g > 0$ and provide a sufficient condition for a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module M to satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. The sufficient condition, discussed in our main Theorem 29 consists of three assumptions, which are shown to be satisfied by the smooth irreducible projective curves $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^N(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ of genus $g > 0$. The first assumption is the presence of a polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. The second one is the existence of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-submodules $M_o \subseteq M$, $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with at most finite complements $M \setminus M_o$, $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o$, related by a finite surjective $\mathfrak{G}_m$-equivariant map $\xi : M_o \to L_o$. Moreover, ξ is required to have a Galois closure, which amounts to the presence of a commutative diagram of $\mathfrak{G}_s = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^s})$-equivariant maps

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_o & \xrightarrow{\xi_{H_1}} & M_o \\ & \searrow^{\xi_H} & \downarrow \xi \\ & & L_o \end{array} \quad . \tag{1}$$

for some $s \in m\mathbb{N}$ with $\xi_H(z) = \mathrm{Orb}_H(z)$ and $\xi_{H_1}(z) = \mathrm{Orb}_{H_1}(z)$ for $\forall z \in N_o$. The third assumption from our sufficient condition for the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue is formulated as an order of $\xi : M_o \to L_o$ and an H-order of $\xi_H : N_o \to L_o$. It consists of upper bounds on the number of the $\Phi_{q^s}^r$-rational (i.e., $\Phi_{q^s}^r$-fixed) points on M_o, respectively, N_o, depending on the number of $\Phi_{q^s}^r$-rational points of L_o.

While proving Theorem 29, we observe that the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for M with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a locally finite module over the profinite completion $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \widehat{\langle \Phi_q \rangle}$ of $\langle \Phi_q \rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ is equivalent to the same statement for M as a locally finite module over any twist $\widehat{\langle h\Phi_q^n \rangle}$ of the profinite action by a bijective self-map $h : M \to M$ of finite order in $\mathrm{Sym}(M)$, which centralizes the action of $\mathfrak{G}$ and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We observe also that the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for M with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ implies a specific functional equation for the polynomial ζ-quoties $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of M. The work provides an explicit example of a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-

module, subject to the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, which is not isomorphic to a smooth irreducible projective variety $Y/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a module over $\mathfrak{G}$. Thus, our main Theorem 29 does not reduce to the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for the smooth irreducible projective varieties, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

Here is a brief synopsis of the article. The next section 2 collects some trivial immediate properties of the locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-modules M and their $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant maps. Section 3 supplies several expressions of the ζ-function $\zeta_M(t)$ of M and shows that $\zeta_M(t)$ determines uniquely M, up to a $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant isomorphism. It studies the ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ of M and provides two necessary and sufficient conditions for $P_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ to be a polynomial. Section 4 discusses the finite coverings of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules and their Galois closures. It establishes that a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module M is faithful if and only if M is an infinite set. After introducing the notion of a finite Galois covering $\xi_H : N \to L$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, we establish that a finite separable surjective morphism $\xi : X \to Y$ of smooth irreducible projective curves is an H-Galois covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-modules for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ exactly when the induced embedding $\xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ of the function fields is a Galois extension with Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)) = H$. That explains the etymology of the notion of a finite Galois covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules. The definition of a Galois closure of a finite covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules arises from the case of a non-constant separable morphism $\xi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ of smooth irreducible projective curves, when the Galois closure $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(N_o) \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}))$ of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \supseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}))$ is associated with a smooth irreducible quasi-projective curve N_o, subject to a commutative diagram (1) for some $\mathfrak{G}_s$-submodules $M_o \subseteq X$, $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with $|X \setminus M_o| < \infty$, $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o\right| < \infty$ and $H = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(N_o)/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})))$, $H_1 = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(N_o)/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X))$. The final, fifth section is devoted to the main result of the article. After reducing the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ for a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module M to lower and upper bounds on the number of rational points of M, we discuss the notion of an order of a finite covering $\xi : M_o \to L_o$ and the notion of an H-order of an H-Galois covering $\xi_H : N_o \to L_o$. Then we prove a sufficient condition for a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module to satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. An explicit example establishes the existence of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, subject to the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, which are not isomorphic (as modules over $\mathfrak{G}$) to smooth irreducible projective varieties, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$.

2 Preliminaries on locally finite $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-modules and their equivariant maps

The present section collects some trivial facts about the locally finite modules over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q) \simeq \widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$ and their $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant maps. For an arbitrary $n \in \mathbb{N}$, note that

$$\mathfrak{G} \times \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}),$$

$$(\Phi_q^{l_s (\mathrm{mod}\, s)})_{s\in\mathbb{N}}[a_0 : \ldots : a_i : \ldots a_n] = [a_0^{q^{l_s}} : \ldots : a_n^{q^{l_s}}] \quad \text{for} \quad a_0, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{F}_{q^s}$$

is a correctly defined action with finite orbits by Remark 2.1.10 (i) and Lemma 2.1.9 from [4]. According to Lemma 2.1.11 from [4], $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(a) = m$ for some $a \in \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ exactly

when $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(a) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\langle\Phi_q\rangle}(a) = \{\Phi_q^j(a)\,|\,0 \le j \le m-1\}$. This, in turn, is equivalent to $\mathbb{F}_q\left(\frac{a_0}{a_i}.\ldots\frac{a_n}{a_i}\right) = \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ for any $0 \le i \le n$ with $a_i \neq 0$. Moreover, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is the minimal natural number with $\Phi_q^m(a) = a$. For $\forall m \in \mathbb{N}$ there are at most finitely many $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits of degree m on $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, as far as an arbitrary standard affine open subset $U_i := \{a = [a_0 : \ldots : a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})\,|\,a_i \neq 0\}$, $0 \le i \le n$ contains finitely many points with $\mathbb{F}_q\left(\frac{a_0}{a_i},\ldots,\frac{a_n}{a_i}\right) = \mathbb{F}_{q^m}$. Thus, $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ is a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module.

If $X = V(f_1,\ldots,f_l) \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ is a smooth irreducible curve, cut by homogeneous polynomials $f_1,\ldots,f_l \in \mathbb{F}_q[x_0,\ldots,x_n]$ of one and a same degree with coefficients from $\mathbb{F}_q$, X is said to be defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and denoted by $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. The $\mathfrak{G}$-action on $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ restricts to a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-action on X, due to the $\mathfrak{G}$-invariance of $f_1,\ldots,f_l$.

The following lemma verifies that the aforementioned properties of the $\mathfrak{G}$-action on $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ are valid for an arbitrary locally finite action of $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$.

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$ be the profinite completion of an infinite cyclic group $\langle\varphi\rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z},+)$, M be a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module, $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) \subseteq M$ be a $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit on M of degree $m = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_m = \widehat{\langle\varphi^m\rangle}$ be the profinite completion of $\langle\varphi^m\rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z},+)$. Then:*

(i) any $y \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ has stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \mathfrak{G}_m$;

(ii) the orbits $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\langle\varphi\rangle}(x) = \{x,\varphi(x),\ldots,\varphi^{m-1}(x)\}$ coincide;

(iii) for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathrm{GCD}(r,m) = d \in \mathbb{N}$, the $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits

$$\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \coprod_{j=1}^{d} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_r}(\varphi^{i_j}(x))$$

of x decomposes into a disjoint union of d orbits of degree $m_1 = \frac{m}{d}$ with respect to the action of $\mathfrak{G}_r = \widehat{\langle\varphi^r\rangle}$.

Proof. If $\mathfrak{G}' := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \widehat{\langle\Phi_q\rangle}$ is the absolute Galois group of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$, then the group isomorphism

$$f : \langle\varphi\rangle \longrightarrow \langle\Phi_q\rangle, \quad f(\varphi^s) = \Phi_q^s \quad \text{for} \quad \forall s \in \mathbb{N}$$

extends uniquely to a group isomorphism

$$f : \mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle} \longrightarrow \widehat{\langle\Phi_q\rangle} = \mathfrak{G}', \quad f(\varphi^{l_s(\mathrm{mod}\,s)})_{s\in\mathbb{N}} = (\Phi_q^{l_s(\mathrm{mod}\,s)})_{s\in\mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{s\in\mathbb{N}}(\langle\Phi_q\rangle/\langle\Phi_q^s\rangle).$$

That is why it suffices to prove the lemma for $\mathfrak{G}' = \widehat{\langle\Phi_q\rangle}$.

(i) Note that $\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \supset \mathbb{F}_q$ is a finite Galois extension with Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \langle\Phi_q\rangle/\langle\Phi_q^m\rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_m,+)$. Bearing in mind that $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q} = \overline{\mathbb{F}_{q^m}} \supset \mathbb{F}_{q^m} \supset \mathbb{F}_q$ is a series of Galois extensions, one concludes that $\mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_{q^m}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)/\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m}) = \mathfrak{G}'/\mathfrak{G}'_m$ for the profinite completion $\mathfrak{G}'_m = \widehat{\langle\Phi_q^m\rangle}$ of $\langle\Phi_q^m\rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z},+)$. In particular, the closure $\mathfrak{G}'_m = \overline{\langle\Phi_q^m\rangle}$ of $\langle\Phi_q^m\rangle$ in $\mathfrak{G}'$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}'$ of index $[\mathfrak{G}' : \mathfrak{G}'_m] = m$ and $\mathfrak{G}_m = \widehat{\langle\varphi^m\rangle}$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$ of index $[\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G}_m] = m$.

We claim that $\mathfrak{G}_m$ is the only closed subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$ of index m. To this end, if $\mathcal{H}$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$ of $[\mathfrak{G} : \mathcal{H}] = m$ then $\mathfrak{G}/\mathcal{H}$ is an abelian group of order m and

its element $\varphi\mathcal{H} \in \mathfrak{G}/\mathcal{H}$ has $(\varphi\mathcal{H})^m = \varphi^m\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}$. Thus, $\varphi^m \in \mathcal{H}$ implies the presence of a sequence of groups $\mathfrak{G}_m = \overline{\langle\varphi^m\rangle} \subseteq \mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$. The index $[\mathcal{H} : \mathfrak{G}_m] = \frac{[\mathfrak{G}:\mathfrak{G}_m]}{[\mathfrak{G}:\mathcal{H}]} = 1$, so that $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{G}_m$. In particular, for any $y \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ one has $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ and the closed subgroup $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \leq \mathfrak{G}$ of index $[\mathfrak{G} : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)] = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = m$ coincides with $\mathfrak{G}_m$.

(ii) The inclusion $\langle\varphi\rangle \subset \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle} = \mathfrak{G}$ of groups implies the inclusion $\mathrm{Orb}_{\langle\varphi\rangle}(x) \subseteq \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ of the corresponding orbits. It suffices to show that $x, \varphi(x), \ldots, \varphi^{m-1}(x)$ are pairwise different, in order to conclude that $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\langle\varphi\rangle}(x) \geq m = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$, whereas $\mathrm{Orb}_{\langle\varphi\rangle}(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$. Indeed, if $\varphi^i(x) = \varphi^j(x)$ for some $0 \leq i < j \leq m-1$ then $x = \varphi^{j-i}(x)$ implies $\varphi^{j-i} \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) \cap \langle\varphi\rangle = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle} \cap \langle\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi^m\rangle$ and m divides $0 < j - i \leq m-1$. This is an absurd, justifying the coincidence $\mathrm{Orb}_{\langle\varphi\rangle}(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$.

(iii) It suffices to prove that $\forall y \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ has stabilizer $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}_r}(y) = \mathfrak{G}_{rm_1} \leq \mathfrak{G}_r \leq \mathfrak{G}$, in order to apply (i) and to conclude that

$$\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_r}(y) = [\mathfrak{G}_r : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}_r}(y)] = \frac{[\mathfrak{G} : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}_r}(y)]}{[\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G}_r]} = \frac{rm_1}{r} = m_1.$$

Bearing in mind that $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}_r}(y) = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \cap \mathfrak{G}_r = \mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r$ and $\mathrm{LCM}(m,r) = \frac{mr}{\mathrm{GCD}(m,r)} = rm_1 = mr_1$ for $r_1 = \frac{r}{d}$, we reduce the statement to $\mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r = \mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{LCM}(m,r)}$ for arbitrary $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$. The quotient group $\mathfrak{G}_r/\mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r \simeq \mathfrak{G}_r\mathfrak{G}_m/\mathfrak{G}_m$ is finite as a subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}/\mathfrak{G}_m \simeq (\mathbb{Z}_m, +)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r$ is a closed subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$ of finite index

$$[\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r] = [\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G}_r][\mathfrak{G}_r : \mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r] \leq rm$$

in $\mathfrak{G}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r = \mathfrak{G}_s$ for $s := [\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r]$. The inclusions $\mathfrak{G}_s \leq \mathfrak{G}_m \leq \mathfrak{G}$ imply that $s = [\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G}_s] = [\mathfrak{G} : \mathfrak{G}_m][\mathfrak{G}_m : \mathfrak{G}_s] = m[\mathfrak{G}_m : \mathfrak{G}_s]$ is a multiple of m. For similar reasons, s is a multiple of r and $\mathrm{LCM}(m,r) \in \mathbb{N}$ divides s. On the other hand, $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{LCM}(m,r)} = \widehat{\langle\varphi^{mr_1}\rangle} = \widehat{\langle\varphi^{rm_1}\rangle}$ is contained in $\mathfrak{G}_m = \widehat{\langle\varphi^m\rangle}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_r = \widehat{\langle\varphi^r\rangle}$, whereas $\mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{LCM}(m,r)} \leq \mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_r = \mathfrak{G}_s \leq \mathfrak{G}$. As a result, s divides $\mathrm{LCM}(m,r)$ and $s = \mathrm{LCM}(m,r)$. □

The next proposition provides a numerical description of a $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant map $\xi : M \to L$, $\xi(\gamma y) = \gamma\xi(y)$ for $\forall\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$, $\forall y \in M$ on a preimage of a $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit, by the means of the inertia indices of ξ. Note that the image $\xi(M)$ is $\mathfrak{G}$-invariant and for any complete set $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(M)) \subseteq \xi(M)$ of $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit representatives, the $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit decomposition $\xi(M) = \coprod\limits_{x\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(M))} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ pulls back to a disjoint $\mathfrak{G}$-module decomposition

$$M = \coprod_{x\in\Sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(M))} \xi^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x). \tag{2}$$

Thus, $\xi : M \to L$ is completely determined by its surjective $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant restrictions

$$\xi : \xi^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) \quad \text{for} \quad \forall x \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(M)).$$

Proposition-Definition 5. *For an arbitrary $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant map $\xi : M \to L$ of locally finite modules over $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$, let us consider the maps*

$$\delta = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}} : L \longrightarrow \mathbb{N}, \quad \delta(x) = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) \quad \textit{for} \quad \forall x \in L \quad \textit{and}$$

$$e_\xi : M \longrightarrow \mathbb{Q}^{>0}, \quad e_\xi(y) = \frac{\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)}{\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y))} \quad for \quad \forall y \in M.$$

Then:

(i) $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \leq \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y)) \leq \mathfrak{G}$ *for* $\forall y \in M$ *and* $e_\xi(y) = [\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y)) : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)] \in \mathbb{N}$ *takes natural values;*

(ii) for any $x \in \xi(M)$ *there is a subset* $S_x \subseteq \xi^{-1}(x)$*, such that*

$$\xi^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \coprod_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \quad with \quad \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \delta(x)e_\xi(y); \tag{3}$$

(iii) for any $x \in \xi(M)$ *the fibre* $\xi^{-1}(x)$ *is a* $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}$*-module with orbit decomposition*

$$\xi^{-1}(x) = \coprod_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) \quad of \quad \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) = e_\xi(y). \tag{4}$$

The correspondence $e_\xi : M \to \mathbb{N}$ *will be referred to as the inertia map of* $\xi : M \to L$*. The values* $e_\xi(y)$*,* $y \in M$ *of* e_ξ *are called inertia indices of* ξ*.*

Proof. (i) For arbitrary $y \in M$ and $\gamma \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)$, note that $\gamma\xi(y) = \xi(\gamma y) = \xi(y)$, in order to conclude that $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \leq \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y)) \leq \mathfrak{G}$. By Lemma 4(i), if $\lambda(y) := \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)$ then $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \mathfrak{G}_{\lambda(y)} = \widehat{\langle\varphi^{\lambda(y)}\rangle}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y)) = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta(\xi(y))} = \widehat{\langle\varphi^{\delta(\xi(y))}\rangle}$, so that the inertia index

$$e_\xi(y) := \frac{\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)}{\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y))} = \frac{\lambda(y)}{\delta(\xi(y))} = \frac{[\mathfrak{G} : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)]}{[\mathfrak{G} : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y))]} = [\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\xi(y)) : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)] \in \mathbb{N}$$

is a natural number.

(ii If $x \in \xi(M)$ then an arbitrary $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit on $\xi^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ is claimed to intersect the fibre $\xi^{-1}(x)$. Indeed, $\xi(z) = \varphi^s(x)$ for some $z \in M$ and $0 \leq s \leq \delta(x) - 1$ implies $\xi(\varphi^{\delta(x)-s}z) = \varphi^{\delta(x)-s}\xi(z) = x$, whereas $y := \varphi^{\delta(x)-s}(z) \in \xi^{-1}(x)$ with $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(z) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)$. That allows to choose a complete set $S_x \subseteq \xi^{-1}(x)$ of $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit representatives on $\xi^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ and to obtain (3) with $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \lambda(y) = \delta(x)e_{\xi(y)}$ by (i).

(iii) If $x \in \xi(M)$, $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \delta(x)$ and $y \in \xi^{-1}(x)$ then $\xi(\varphi^{\delta(x)}(y)) = \varphi^{\delta(x)}\xi(y) = \varphi^{\delta(x)}(x) = x$, whereas $\varphi^{\delta(x)}(y) \in \xi^{-1}(x)$ and $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)} = \widehat{\langle\varphi^{\delta(x)}\rangle}$ acts on $\xi^{-1}(x)$. That justifies the inclusion $\cup_{y \in S_x}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) \subseteq \xi^{-1}(x)$. For any $y, y' \in S_x$ the assumption $y' \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) \subseteq \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)$ implies that $y' = y$, so that the union $\coprod\limits_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y)$ is disjoint. By the very definition of S_x, any $z \in \xi^{-1}(x) \subset \xi^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \coprod\limits_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)$ is of the form $z = \varphi^s(y)$ for some $y \in S_x$ and $0 \leq s \leq \delta(x)e_\xi(y)$. Due to $x = \xi(z) = \xi(\varphi^s(y)) = \varphi^s\xi(y) = \varphi^s(x)$, there follows $\varphi^s \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) \cap \langle\varphi\rangle = \widehat{\langle\varphi^{\delta(x)}\rangle} \cap \langle\varphi\rangle = \langle\varphi^{\delta(x)}\rangle$, whereas $s = \delta(x)r$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. Thus, $z = \varphi^{\delta(x)r}(y) \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y)$ and $\xi^{-1}(x) \subseteq \coprod\limits_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y)$. That justifies the $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}$-orbit decomposition (4). By (ii) and the proof of Lemma 4 (iii), one has $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) = \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \cap \mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)} = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)e_\xi(y)} \cap \mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)} = \mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)e_\xi(y)}$, as far as $\mathrm{LCM}(\delta(x)e_\xi(y), \delta(x)) = \delta(x)e_\xi(y)$. Now, Lemma 4(i) applies to provide $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) = [\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)} : \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y)] = \frac{[\mathfrak{G}:\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)e_\xi(y)}]}{[\mathfrak{G}:\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}]} = e_\xi(y)$.

□

3 Locally finite modules with a polynomial ζ-quotient

In order to provide two more expressions for the ζ-function of a locally finite module M over $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$, $\langle\varphi\rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}, +)$, let us recall that on an arbitrary smooth irreducible curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$, the fixed points

$$X^{\Phi_q^r} := \{x \in X \,|\, \Phi_q^r(x) = x\} = X(\mathbb{F}_{q^r})$$

of an arbitrary power Φ_q^r, $r \in \mathbb{N}$ of the Frobenius automorphism Φ_q coincide with the $\mathbb{F}_{q^r}$-rational ones. That is why, for an arbitrary bijective self-map $\varphi : M \to M$, which is of infinite order in the symmetric group $\mathrm{Sym}(M)$ of M, the fixed points

$$M^{\varphi^r} := \{x \in M \,|\, \varphi^r(x) = x\}$$

of φ^r with $r \in \mathbb{N}$ are called φ^r-rational. Note that if $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = m$ then $x \in M^{\varphi^r}$ if and only if $\varphi^r \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \mathfrak{G}_m = \widehat{\langle\varphi^m\rangle}$ and this holds exactly when m divides r. Since any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ has finitely many natural divisors m and for any $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there are at most finitely many $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits on M of degree m, the sets M^{φ^r} are finite.

Let us consider the free abelian group $(\mathrm{Div}(M), +)$, generated by the $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits $\nu \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(M)$. Its elements are called divisors on M and are of the form $D = a_1\nu_1 + \ldots a_s\nu_s$ for some $\nu_j \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(M)$, $a_j \in \mathbb{Z}$. The terminology arises from the case of a smooth irreducible curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, in which the $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-orbits ν are in a bijective correspondence with the places $\widetilde{\nu}$ of the function field $\mathbb{F}_q(X)$ of X over $\mathbb{F}_q$. If R_ν is the discrete valuation ring, associated with the place $\widetilde{\nu}$ then the residue field $R_\nu/\mathfrak{M}_\nu$ of R_ν is of degree $[R_\nu/\mathfrak{M}_\nu : \mathbb{F}_q] = \deg \nu = |\nu|$.

Note that the degree of a $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit extends to a group homomorphism

$$\deg : (\mathrm{Div}(M), +) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}, +),$$

$$\deg\left(\sum_{\nu \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(M)} a_\nu \nu\right) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(M)} a_\nu \deg \nu.$$

A divisor $D = a_1\nu_1 + \ldots + a_s\nu_s \geq 0$ is effective if all of its non-zero coefficients are positive. Let $\mathrm{Div}_{\geq 0}(M)$ be the set of the effective divisors on M. Note that the effective divisors $D = a_1\nu_1 + \ldots + a_s\nu_s \geq 0$ of degree $\deg D = a_1 \deg \nu_1 + \ldots + a_s \deg \nu_s = m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ have bounded coefficients $1 \leq a_j \leq m$ and bounded degrees $\deg \nu_j \leq m$ of the $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits from the support of D. Bearing in mind that M has at most finitely many $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits ν_j of degree $\deg \nu_j \leq m$, one concludes that there are at most finitely many effective divisors on M of degree $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and denotes their number by $\mathcal{A}_m(M)$.

The following lemma generalizes two of the well known expressions of the local Weil ζ-function $\zeta_X(t)$ of a curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ to the ζ-function of any locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$-module M. The proofs are similar to the ones for $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, given in [4] or in [7].

Proposition 6. *Let $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$ be the profinite completion of an infinite cyclic group $\langle\varphi\rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ and M be a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module. Then the ζ-function of M equals*

$$\zeta_M(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left|M^{\varphi^r}\right| \frac{t^r}{r}\right) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_m(M),$$

where $\left|M^{\varphi^r}\right|$ *is the number of* φ^r*-rational points on* M *and* $\mathcal{A}_m(M)$ *is the number of the effective divisors on* M *of degree* $m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$.

Proof. If $B_k(M)$ is the number of $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits on M of degree k then

$$\zeta_M(t) := \prod_{\nu \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(M)} \left(\frac{1}{1-t^{\deg \nu}}\right) = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1-t^k}\right)^{B_k(M)}.$$

Therefore

$$\log \zeta_M(t) = -\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k(M) \log(1-t^k) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B_k(M) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^{kn}}{n}\right) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k/r} k B_k(M)\right) \frac{t^r}{r},$$

according to

$$\log(1-z) = -\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{z^r}{r} \in \mathbb{Q}[[z]], \tag{5}$$

If $M^{\varphi^r} = \coprod_{\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)/r} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ is the decomposition of M^{φ^r} into a disjoint union of $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits then the number of φ^r-rational points on M is

$$\left|M^{\varphi^r}\right| = \sum_{k/r} k B_k(M), \tag{6}$$

whereas $\log \zeta_M(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left|M^{\varphi^r}\right| \frac{t^r}{r}$.

On the other hand, note that

$$\zeta_M(t) = \prod_{\nu \in \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(M)} \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} t^{\deg(n\nu)}\right) = \sum_{D \in \mathrm{Div}_{\geq 0}(M)} t^{\deg D} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_m(M) t^m.$$

□

Corollary 7. *Locally finite* $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$*-modules* M*,* L *admit a bijective* $\mathfrak{G}$*-equivariant map* $\xi : M \to L$ *if and only if their* ζ*-functions* $\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_L(t)$ *coincide.*

Proof. If $\xi : M \to L$ is a bijective $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant map then for $\forall x \in L$ with $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \delta(x)$, then (4) from Proposition-Definition 5 (iii) provides a decomposition $\xi^{-1}(x) = \coprod_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y)$ of the fibre $\xi^{-1}(x)$ in a disjoint union of $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}$-orbits of $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) = e_\xi(y)$. Therefore $|S_x| = 1$ for $\forall x \in L$, $e_\xi(y) = 1$ for $\forall y \in M$ and $\xi^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}\xi^{-1}(x)$ is of degree $\delta(x)$ by (3) from Proposition-Definition 5 (ii). As a result, (2) takes the form $M = \coprod_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}(L)} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}\xi^{-1}(x)$ for any complete set $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}(L)$ of $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit representatives on L and the ζ-functions $\zeta_M(t) = \prod_{x \in \Sigma_{\mathfrak{G}}(L)} \left(\frac{1}{1-t^{\delta(x)}}\right) = \zeta_L(t)$ coincide.

Conversely, assume that the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules M and L have one and a same ζ-function $\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_L(t)$. Then by Proposition 6, there follows the equality

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left|M^{\varphi^r}\right| \frac{t^r}{r} = \log \zeta_M(t) = \log \zeta_L(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left|L^{\varphi^r}\right| \frac{t^r}{r} \in \mathbb{Q}[[t]]$$

of formal power series of t and the equalities

$$\sum_{d/r} dB_d(M) = \left|M^{\varphi^r}\right| = \left|L^{\varphi^r}\right| = \sum_{d/r} dB_d(L)$$

of their coefficients for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$. By an induction on the number of the prime divisors of r, counted with their multiplicities, one derives the equalities $B_d(M) = B_d(L)$ of the numbers of the $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits of degree d for $\forall d \in \mathbb{N}$.

For an arbitrary $k \in \mathbb{N}$, note that $M^{(\leq k)} := \{x \in M \,|\, \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \delta(x) \leq k\}$ is a $\mathfrak{G}$-submodule of M and $M = \cup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} M^{(\leq k)}$. By an induction on k, we construct a bijective $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant map $\xi : M^{(\leq k)} \to L^{(\leq k)}$, in order to show the existence of a $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant bijection $\xi : M \to L$. More precisely, if $M^{(\leq 1)} = M^{\varphi} = \left\{y_1^{(1)}, \ldots, y_{B_1(L)}^{(1)}\right\}$ and $L^{(\leq 1)} = L^{\varphi} = \left\{x_1^{(1)}, \ldots, x_{B_1(L)}^{(1)}\right\}$ then we set $\xi(y_i^{(1)}) = x_i^{(1)}$ for $\forall 1 \leq i \leq B_1(L)$ and obtain a bijective $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant map $\xi : M^{(\leq 1)} \to L^{(\leq 1)}$. If $\xi : M^{(\leq k-1)} \to L^{(\leq k-1)}$ is constructed by the inductional hypothesis and $\{x_i^{(k)} \in L \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq B_k(L)\}$, respectively, $\{y_i^{(k)} \in M \,|\, 1 \leq i \leq B_k(L)\}$ are complete sets of representatives of the $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits of degree k on L, respectively, on M, then $\xi(\varphi^s(y_i^{(k)})) = \varphi^s(x_i^{(k)})$ for $\forall 0 \leq s \leq k-1$, $\forall 1 \leq i \leq B_k(L)$ provides a $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant bijective extension $\xi : M^{(\leq k)} \to L^{(\leq k)}$ of $\xi : M^{(\leq k-1)} \to L^{(\leq k-1)}$. Since $M^{(\leq k)}$ exhaust M and $L^{(\leq k)}$ exhaust L, that establishes the existence of a $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant bijective map $\xi : M \to L$.

□

Form now on, we identify the locally finite modules M and L, which admit a $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant bijective map $\xi : M \to L$.

Lemma 8. *If M is a locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module with ζ-function $\zeta_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ then the quotient*

$$P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m(M) t^m \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]^*$$

is a formal power series with integral coefficients $a_m \in \mathbb{Z}$, which is invertible in $\mathbb{Z}[[t]]$. Its coefficients $a_m(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfy the equality

$$\mathcal{A}_m(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i(M) \left|\mathbb{P}^{m-i}(\mathbb{F}_q)\right|$$

and can be interpreted as "multiplicities" of the projective spaces $\mathbb{P}^{m-i}(\mathbb{F}_q)$, "exhausting" the effective divisors on M of degree m.

Proof. If $P_M(t) = \sum\limits_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m(M)t^m \in \mathbb{C}[[t]]$ is a formal power series with complex coefficients $a_m(M) \in \mathbb{C}$ then the comparison of the coefficients of

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m(M)t^m = P_M(t) = \zeta_M(t)(1-t)(1-qt) = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_m(M)t^m\right)[1-(q+1)t+qt^2]$$

yields

$$a_m(M) = \mathcal{A}_m(M) - (q+1)\mathcal{A}_{m-1}(M) + q\mathcal{A}_{m-2}(M) \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}, \tag{7}$$

as far as $\mathcal{A}_m(M) \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ for $\forall m \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{-1}(M) = \mathcal{A}_{-2}(M) = 0$. In particular, $a_0(M) = \mathcal{A}_0(M) = \zeta_M(0) = 1$ and $P_M(t) = 1 + \sum\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(M)t^m \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]^*$ is invertible by a formal power series $P_M^{-1}(t) = 1 + \sum\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m(M)t^m \in \mathbb{Z}[[t]]$ with integral coefficients. (The existence of $b_m(M) \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $[1 + \sum\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} a_m(M)t^m][1 + \sum\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} b_m(M)t^m] = 1$ follows from $b_m(M) + \sum\limits_{i=1}^{m-1} b_i(M)a_{m-i}(M) + a_m(M) = 0$ by an induction on $m \in \mathbb{N}$.)

The comparison of the coefficients of

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_m(M)t^m = \zeta_M(t) = P_M(t)\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t) = \left(\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m(M)t^m\right)\left(\sum_{s=0}^{\infty} t^s\right)\left(\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} q^r t^r\right)$$

provides

$$\mathcal{A}_m(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i(M)\left(\sum_{j=0}^{m-i} q^j\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i(M)\left(\frac{q^{m-i+1}-1}{q-1}\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} a_i(M)\left|\mathbb{P}^{m-i}(\mathbb{F}_q)\right|. \tag{8}$$

□

According to the Riemann-Roch Theorem for a divisor D of degree $\deg D = n \geq 2g-1$ on a smooth irreducible curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ of genus $g \geq 0$, the linear equivalence class of D is of dimension $n-g+1$ over $\mathbb{F}_q$. For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$ there exist one and a same number h of linear equivalence classes of divisors on X of degree n. The natural number $h = P_X(1)$ equals the value of the ζ-polynomial $P_X(t) = \frac{\zeta_X(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{2g} a_j t^j \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of X at 1 and is called the class number of X. Thus, for any natural number $n \geq 2g-1$ there are

$$\mathcal{A}_n(X) = P_X(1)\left|\mathbb{P}^{n-g}(\mathbb{F}_q)\right| = P_X(1)\left(\frac{q^{n-g+1}-1}{q-1}\right)$$

effective divisors of X of degree n. Note that the ζ-function $\zeta_X(t) = \frac{P_X(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$ has residuums $\mathrm{Res}_{\frac{1}{q}}(\zeta_X(t)) = \frac{P_X\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}{1-q}$, $\mathrm{Res}_1(\zeta_X(t)) = \frac{P_X(1)}{q-1}$ at its simple poles $\frac{1}{q}$, respectively,

1. The ζ-polynomial $P_X(t)$ satisfies the functional equation $P_X(t) = P_X\left(\frac{1}{qt}\right) q^g t^{2g}$, according to Theorem 4.1.13 from [4] or to Theorem V.1.15 (b) from [7]. In particular, $P_X\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) = q^{-g} P_X(1)$ and

$$\mathcal{A}_n(X) = -q^{n+1}\mathrm{Res}_{\frac{1}{q}}(\zeta_X(t)) - \mathrm{Res}_1(\zeta_X(t)) \quad \text{for} \quad \forall n \geq 2g-1.$$

Definition 9. *A locally finite module M over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ satisfies the Generic Riemann-Roch Conditions if M has*

$$\mathcal{A}_n(M) = -q^{n+1}\mathrm{Res}_{\frac{1}{q}}(\zeta_M(t)) - \mathrm{Res}_1(\zeta_M(t))$$

effective divisors of degree n for all the sufficiently large natural numbers $n \geq n_o$.

One can compare the Generalized Riemann-Roch Conditions with the Polarized Riemann-Roch Conditions from [3], which are shown to be equivalent to the Mac Williams identities for additive codes and, in particular, for linear codes over finite fields.

Here is a characterization of the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules M with a polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$.

Proposition 10. *The following conditions are equivalent for the ζ-function $\zeta_M(t)$ of a locally finite module M over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$:*

(i) $P_M(t) := \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of $\deg P_M(t) = d \leq \delta \in \mathbb{N}$;

(ii) M satisfies the Generic Riemann-Roch Conditions

$$\mathcal{A}_n(M) = -q^{n+1}\mathrm{Res}_{\frac{1}{q}}(\zeta_M(t)) - \mathrm{Res}_1(\zeta_M(t)) = \frac{q^{n+1}P_M\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - P_M(t)}{q-1}; \tag{9}$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = \sum_{j=1}^{d} \omega_j^r \quad \textit{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N} \tag{10}$$

and some $\omega_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$, which turn to be the reciprocals of the roots of $P_M(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-\omega_j t)$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ If $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_j t^j \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of $\deg P_M(t) = d \leq \delta \in \mathbb{N}$ then (8) reduces to

$$\mathcal{A}_m(M) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i \left(\frac{q^{m-i+1}-1}{q-1}\right) = \frac{q^{m+1}P_M\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - P_M(1)}{q-1} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall m \geq \delta.$$

Moreover, (8) implies that

$$\mathcal{A}_{\delta-1}(M) = \frac{q^\delta\left[P_M\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - \frac{a_\delta}{q^\delta}\right] - [P_M(1) - a_\delta]}{q-1} = \frac{q^\delta P_M\left(\frac{1}{q}\right) - P_M(1)}{q-1}.$$

Note that the residuums of $\zeta_M(t) = \frac{P_M(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$ at its simple poles are $\mathrm{Res}_{\frac{1}{q}}\left(\frac{P_M(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}\right) = \frac{P_M\left(\frac{1}{q}\right)}{1-q}$, respectively, $\mathrm{Res}_1\left(\frac{P_M(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}\right) = \frac{P_M(1)}{q-1}$, in order to derive (9).

$(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Plugging (9) in (7), one obtains $a_m(M) = 0$ for $\forall m \geq \delta + 1$. Therefore $P_M(t) = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{\delta} a_i(M)t^i \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of degree $\deg P_M(t) \leq \delta$.

$(i) \Rightarrow (iii)$ If $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of degree $\deg P_M(t) = d \leq \delta$, then $P_M(0) = \frac{\zeta_M(0)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(0)} = 1$ allows to express $P_M(t) = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d}(1-\omega_j t)$ by some complex numbers $\omega_j \in \mathbb{C}^*$. According to Proposition 6,

$$\zeta_M(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right|\frac{t^r}{r}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right|\frac{t^r}{r}\right), \qquad (11)$$

whereas

$$\sum_{j=1}^{d}\log(1-\omega_j t) = \log P_M(t) = \log\zeta_M(t) - \log\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right|\right)\frac{t^r}{r}.$$

Making use of (5), one obtains $-\sum\limits_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(\sum\limits_{j=1}^{d}\omega_j^r\right)\frac{t^r}{r} = \sum\limits_{r=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right|\right)\frac{t^r}{r}$. The comparison of the coefficients of $\frac{t^r}{r}$ for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$ provides (10).

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Multiplying (10) by $\frac{t^r}{r}$, summing for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$ and making use of (6), (5), one obtains $\log\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t) - \log\zeta_M(t) = -\sum\limits_{j=1}^{d}\log(1-\omega_j t)$. The change of the sign, followed by an exponentiation reveals that $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d}(1-\omega_j t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$.

□

Corollary 11. *Let M and L be locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-modules with polynomial ζ-quotients $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)}$, $P_L(t) = \frac{\zeta_L(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of degree $\deg P_M(t) \leq \delta$, $\deg P_L(t) \leq \delta$. Then M and L admit a $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant isomorphism $\xi : M \to L$ if and only if they have one and a same number $B_k(M) = B_k(L)$ of $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits of degree k for all $1 \leq k \leq \delta$.*

Proof. According to Corollary 7, it suffices to show the coincidence $\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_N(t)$ of the corresponding ζ-functions. Making use of Proposition 6, one reduces the problem to

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right|\frac{t^r}{r} = \log\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_L(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right|\frac{t^r}{r}$$

and justifies that M and L have one and a same number $\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = \left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right|$ of Φ_q^r-rational points for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$. According to (6), for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \leq \delta$ one has

$$\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = \sum_{k/r} kB_k(M) = \sum_{k/r} kB_k(L) = \left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right|.$$

If $P_M(t) = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{\delta}(1-\omega_j t)$, respectively, $P_L(t) = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{\delta}(1-\rho_j t)$ for some $\omega_j, \rho_j \in \mathbb{C}$ (which are not supposed to be non-zero) then (10) from Proposition 10 implies that

$$S_r := \sum_{j=1}^{\delta}\omega_j^r = \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = \sum_{j=1}^{\delta}\omega_j^r =: S'_r \quad \text{for} \quad \forall 1 \leq r \leq \delta.$$

The power sums S_r for $1 \leq r \leq \delta$ determine uniquely S_r for $r \geq \delta$ by Newton formulae. The same holds for S'_r and implies $S_r = S'_r$ for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus,

$$\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - S_r = \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - S'_r = \left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right| \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N},$$

whereas $\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_L(t)$.

□

Proposition 12. *Let M be a locally finite module over the profinite completion $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$ of $\langle\varphi\rangle \simeq (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ and M_r be the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_r = \widehat{\langle\varphi^r\rangle}$-module, supported by M for some $r \in \mathbb{N}$. Then the ζ-functions of M and M_r are related by the equality*

$$\zeta_{M_r}(t^r) = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1}\zeta_M\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}}t\right). \tag{12}$$

In particular, if M has polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d}(1-\omega_j t)$ of $\deg P_M(t) = d$ then M_r has a polynomial ζ-quotient $P_{M_r}(t) = \frac{\zeta_{M_r}(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}})}(t)} = \prod\limits_{j=1}^{d}(1-\omega_j^r t)$ of $\deg P_{M_r}(t) = d$ and M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a $\mathfrak{G}$-module if and only if M_r satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a $\mathfrak{G}_r$-module.

Proof. According to (1.6) from subsection V.1 of [7], for any $m, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\mathrm{GCD}(m, r) = d \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds the equality of monic polynomials

$$\left(x^{\frac{r}{d}} - 1\right)^d = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1}\left(x - e^{\frac{2\pi i k m}{r}}\right). \tag{13}$$

Namely, if $m_1 = \frac{m}{d}$, $r_1 = \frac{r}{d}$ then $\frac{m}{r} = \frac{m_1}{r_1}$ and any $0 \leq k \leq r-1$ can be divided by r_1 with quotient $0 \leq q \leq d-1$ and remainder $0 \leq s \leq r_1 - 1$, $k = r_1 q + s$. Thus,

$$\prod_{k=0}^{r-1}\left(x - e^{\frac{2\pi i k m_1}{r_1}}\right) = \prod_{q=0}^{d-1}\prod_{s=0}^{r_1-1}\left(x - e^{2\pi i m_1 q}e^{\frac{2\pi i m_1 s}{r_1}}\right) =$$

$$\left[\prod_{s=0}^{r_1-1}\left(x - e^{\frac{2\pi i m_1}{r_1}s}\right)\right]^d = \left(x^{r_1} - 1\right)^d,$$

as far as $e^{\frac{2\pi i m_1}{r_1}} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ with $\mathrm{GCD}(m_1, r_1) = 1$ is a primitive r_1-th root of unity. Substituting $x = t^{-m}$ in (13) and multiplying by t^{mr}, one obtains

$$\left(1 - t^{\frac{mr}{d}}\right)^d = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \left[1 - \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right)^m\right].$$

According to Lemma 4 (iii), any $\mathfrak{G}$-orbit ν of $\deg \nu = m$ splits in d orbits $\nu = \nu_1 \coprod \ldots \coprod \nu_d$ over $\mathfrak{G}_r$ of $\deg \nu_j = m_1$ for $\forall 1 \leq j \leq d$. The contribution of ν to $\left[\prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \zeta_M\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right)\right]^{-1}$ is $\prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \left[1 - \left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right)^m\right] = \left(1 - t^{r\frac{m}{d}}\right)^d = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(1 - t^{r \deg \nu_j}\right)$ and equals the contribution of $\nu_1 \coprod \ldots \coprod \nu_d$ to $\zeta_{M_r}(t^r)^{-1}$. That justifies the equality of power series (12).

For any $\omega \in \mathbb{C}^*$ note that

$$\prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(1 - e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} \omega t\right) = (\omega t)^r \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(\frac{1}{\omega t} - e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}}\right) = (\omega t)^r \left[\frac{1}{(\omega t)^r} - 1\right] = 1 - \omega^r t^r. \qquad (14)$$

Thus, if $P_M(t) = (1-t)(1-qt)\zeta_M(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} (1 - \omega_j t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ is a polynomial of $\deg P_M(t) = d$ then the application of (12) to M_r and the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_r$-module $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_{q^r}}) = \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, combined with (14) yields

$$P_{M_r}(t^r) = \frac{\zeta_{M_r}(t^r)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})_r}(t^r)} = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{\zeta_M\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right)} = \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} P_M\left(e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right) =$$

$$\prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(1 - \omega_j e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \prod_{k=0}^{r-1} \left(1 - \omega_j e^{\frac{2\pi i k}{r}} t\right) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} \left(1 - \omega_j^r t^r\right).$$

Therefore $P_{M_r}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} (1 - \omega_j^r t)$ is a polynomial of degree d, whose leading coefficient has absolute value $|\mathrm{LC}(P_{M_r}(t))| = |\mathrm{LC}(P_M(t))|^r = |a_d|^r$. Thus, $|\omega_j| = \sqrt[d]{|a_d|}$ exactly when $\left|\omega_j^r\right| = \sqrt[d]{|a_d|^r}$ and the Riemann Hypothesis Analogues for M and M_r are equivalent to each other.

□

4 Galois closure of a finite covering of locally finite modules

Definition 13. *A surjective $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-equivariant map $\xi : M \to L$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules is a covering of degree $\deg \xi = k$ if there exist at most finitely many points $x_1, \ldots, x_s \in L$ such that the fibres $\xi^{-1}(x)$ over $\forall x \in L \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$ are of cardinality $\left|\xi^{-1}(x)\right| = k$ and $\left|\xi^{-1}(x_i)\right| < k$ for $\forall 1 \leq i \leq s$.*

Note that the inertia map $e_\xi : M \to \mathbb{N}$ of a covering $\xi : M \to L$ of $\deg \xi = k$ takes values in $\{1, \ldots, k\}$. This is an immediate consequence of Lemma-Definition 5 (iii), according to which $\xi^{-1}(x) = \coprod_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y)$ for $\forall x \in M$, $\delta(x) = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$, $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(y) = e_\xi(y)$, whereas

$$k \geq \left|\xi^{-1}(x)\right| = \sum_{y \in S_x} e_\xi(y) \quad \text{for some} \quad e_\xi(y) \in \mathbb{N}.$$

In order to discuss some examples of finite coverings of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, let us recall that a rational map $\xi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ or a morphism $\xi : X \to Y$ of smooth irreducible quasi-projective curves is dominant if its image is Zariski dense in Y. A dominant rational map $\xi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ (or a dominant morphism $\xi : X \to Y$) is finite if it induces a finite extension $\xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ of the corresponding function fields. A finite dominant rational map $\xi : X \dashrightarrow Y$ (or a finite dominant morphism) is separable if the finite extension $\xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ is separable.

Proposition 14. *Let $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ be a smooth irreducible projective curve, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$. Then:*

(i) there exist finite separable surjective morphisms $\xi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$;

(ii) any finite separable surjective morphism $\xi : X \to Y$ onto a projective curve $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ is a finite covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-modules for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) At least one of the standard affine open subsets

$$U_i = \{a = [a_0 : \ldots : a_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \,|\, a_i \neq 0\}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq n$$

of $\mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ intersects X in an affine open subset $X \cap U_i \subset U_i \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^n$. The function field $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X \cap U_i) = Q(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[X \cap U_i])$ of X is the quotient field of the affine coordinate ring $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[X \cap U_i] = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]/I(X \cap U_i)$. In particular, $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ is a finitely generated extension of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. According to Proposition 1 from §4 of the Algebraic Preliminaries of [6], there exist such generators u, v of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(u, v)$ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ that u is transcendent over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and v is separable over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(u)$. The inclusion $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(u) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ corresponds to a finite separable dominant rational map $\xi : X \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. Since X is smooth, $\xi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ is a morphism (cf.Proposition 3.2.6 from [4]). The morphism ξ is non-constant and its image $\xi(X)$ is a closed projective subvariety of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ by Theorem 2 from §I.5.2 of [6]. Therefore $\xi(X) = \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ and $\xi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ is a surjective finite separable morphism.

(ii) An arbitrary finite separable surjective morphism $\xi : X \to Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ induces a finite separable extension $\xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ of the corresponding function fields. Let $\theta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ be a primitive element of $\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ with minimal polynomial $\widetilde{h_\theta}(x) \in \xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)[x]$. If $W_j = \{b = [b_0 : \ldots : b_m] \in \mathbb{P}^m(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \,|\, b_j \neq 0\}$ is a standard affine open subset of $\mathbb{P}^m(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ and $Y \cap W_j \subset Y$ is Zariski open, then the function field $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y \cap W_j) = Q(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y \cap W_j])$ is the quotient field of the affine coordinate ring $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y \cap W_j] = \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[y_1, \ldots, y_m]/I(Y \cap W_j)$ of $Y \cap W_j$. Multiplying $\widetilde{h_\theta}(x)$ by a common multiple of the denominators of its coefficients, one obtains an element of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y \cap W_j][x]$, lifting to a polynomial $h_\theta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[y_1, \ldots, y_m, x]$. The isomorphism $\xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)(\theta) \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$

of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebras corresponds to a birational map $\psi : X \dashrightarrow \overline{Z}$ in the projective closure $\overline{Z}$ of

$$Z = \{(a, a_{m+1}) \in (Y \cap W_j) \times \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \,|\, h_\theta(a, a_{m+1}) = 0\}.$$

The smoothness of X implies that $\psi : X \to \overline{Z}$ is a birational morphism. The composition $\mathrm{pr} \circ \psi : X \to Y$ of ψ with the canonical projection $\mathrm{pr} : Z \to Y$ on Y induces the extension $(\mathrm{pr} \circ \psi)^* = \psi^* \mathrm{pr}^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ with $(\mathrm{pr} \circ \psi)^* \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) = \psi^* \mathrm{pr}^* \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) = \xi^* \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$. Therefore there is a commutative diagram



and the fibres of ξ coincide with the fibres of pr with at most finitely many exceptions. However, $\left|\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(b)\right| \le \deg_x h_\theta = k$ with at most finitely many strict inequalities $\left|\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(b)\right| < k$ over the points $b \in Y$, for which $h_\theta(b, x)$ has multiple roots. The discriminant $D_x(h_\theta(y_1, \dots, y_m, x)) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[y_1, \dots, y_m]$ of $h_\theta \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[y_1, \dots, y_m, x]$ with respect to x has finitely many roots on Y, as far as $D_x(h_\theta) \notin I(Y \cap W_j)$ and the proper subvarieties of $Y \cap W_j$ are finite sets. Therefore $\left|\mathrm{pr}^{-1}(b)\right| = k$ with at most finitely many exceptions.

Towards the $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-equivariance of ξ for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let us cover Y by finitely many affine Zariski open subsets $Y \cap W_j$ and note that the restrictions $\xi : \xi^{-1}(Y \cap W_j) \to Y \cap W_j \subset W_j \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m$ are given by ordered m-tuples $\xi = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_m)$ of rational functions $\xi_s : \xi^{-1}(Y \cap W_j) \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$. The restrictions of ξ_s on the affine open subsets $\xi^{-1}(Y \cap W_j) \cap U_i$ act as ratios $\frac{f_{i,j,s}}{g_{i,j,s}}$ of polynomials. If $\mathbb{F}_{q^{m_{ij}}} \supset \mathbb{F}_q$ contains the coefficients of $f_{i,j,s}$ and $g_{i,j,s}$ for all $1 \le s \le m$ then $\xi : \xi^{-1}(Y \cap W_j) \cap U_i \to Y$ is $\mathfrak{G}_{m_{ij}} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^{m_{ij}}})$-equivariant. Denoting by m the least common multiple of m_{ij} for $\forall 0 \le i \le n$ and $\forall 0 \le j \le m$, one concludes that $\xi : X \to Y$ is $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-equivariant and, therefore, a covering of $\mathfrak{G}_m$-modules of degree $k = [\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) : \xi^* \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}))]$.

□

A locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module M is faithful if the homomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{Sym}(M)$, associated with the $\mathfrak{G}$-action is injective.

Lemma 15. *A locally finite module M over the absolute Galois group $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ of a finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ is faithful if and only if M is an infinite set.*

Proof. By the very definition of the homomorphism $\Psi : \mathfrak{G} \to \mathrm{Sym}(M)$, its kernel

$$\ker \Psi = \cap_{x \in M} \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$$

is the intersection of the stabilizers of all the points of M. In the proof of Lemma 4 (iii) we have established that $\mathfrak{G}_m \cap \mathfrak{G}_n = \mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{LCM}(m,n)}$. If $M = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ is a finite set then the map $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}} : M \to \mathbb{N}$ has finitely many values $m_1, \dots, m_\nu$, $\nu \le r$. As a result, $\ker \Psi = \cap_{j=1}^{\nu} \mathfrak{G}_{m_j} = \mathfrak{G}_{\mathrm{LCM}(m_j \,|\, 1 \le j \le \nu)} \ne 0$ and M is not a faithful $\mathfrak{G}$-module.

Suppose that M is an infinite locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module and $\alpha = (l_s (\mathrm{mod}\, s))_{s \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ker \Psi = \cap_{x \in M} \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \cap_{x \in M} \mathfrak{G}_{\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)}$. Then for any point $x \in M$ and any $s \in \mathbb{N}$,

$\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ divides l_s. The map $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}} : M \to \mathbb{N}$ has an infinite image, so that any l_s is divisible by infinitely many different natural numbers $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$, $x \in M$. That implies $l_s = 0$ for $\forall s \in \mathbb{N}$, whereas $\ker \Psi = \{0\}$ and any infinite locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module M is faithful.

□

From now on, we consider only infinite locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules M and treat $\mathfrak{G}$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Sym}(M)$.

Lemma-Definition 16. *Let N be an infinite locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ and $H \leq Z(\mathfrak{G}) := \{h \in \mathrm{Sym}(N) \,|\, h\gamma = \gamma h, \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}\} \leq \mathrm{Sym}(N)$ be a finite subgroup of the centralizer of $\mathfrak{G}$ with $H \cap \mathfrak{G} = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_H(x) = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ for all but at most finitely many $x \in N$. Then the orbit space $N/H = \mathrm{Orb}_H(N)$ has a natural structure of a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module and the map $\xi_H : N \to N/H$, $\xi_H(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_H(x)$ for $\forall x \in N$ is a finite covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules, which is called an H-Galois covering.*

Proof. By assumption, for arbitrary $h \in H$ and $\gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & N \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle h} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle h}\,. \\ N & \xrightarrow{\gamma} & N \end{array}$$

We define

$$\mathfrak{G} \times N/H \longrightarrow N/H,$$

$$(\gamma, \mathrm{Orb}_H(x)) \mapsto \mathrm{Orb}_H(\gamma(x)) \quad \text{for} \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}, \quad \forall x \in N.$$

Due to $\mathrm{Orb}_H(\gamma h(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_H(h\gamma(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_H(\gamma(x))$ for $\forall h \in H$, this map is correctly defined. The axioms for this $\mathfrak{G}$-action on N/H are immediate consequences of the ones for the $\mathfrak{G}$-action on N. According to $\gamma\xi_H(x) = \gamma \mathrm{Orb}_H(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_H(\gamma(x)) = \xi_H(\gamma(x))$ for $\forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$, $\forall x \in N$, the map $\xi_H : N \to N/H$ is $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariant.

□

The following proposition explains the etymology of the notion of a finite Galois covering of locally finite modules.

Proposition 17. *Let $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ be a smooth irreducible projective curve, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\xi : X \to Y$ be a finite separable surjective morphism onto a smooth projective curve $Y \subseteq \mathbb{P}^m(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. Then there exist an H-Galois covering $\xi_H : X \to X/H$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-modules for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and a birational morphism $\psi : Y \to X/H$, closing the commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\xi} & Y \\ & {\scriptstyle \xi_H} \searrow & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \psi} \\ & & X/H \end{array} \tag{15}$$

if and only if $\xi^*:\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)\hookrightarrow\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ *is a Galois extension (i.e., normal and separable) with Galois group* $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y))=H$.

In particular, if $\xi^*:\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)\hookrightarrow\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ *is a Galois extension then there exist* $\mathfrak{G}_s=\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^s})$*-submodules* $X_o\subseteq X$, $Y_o\subseteq Y$ *with at most finite complements* $X\setminus X_o$, $Y\setminus Y_o$ *for some* $s\in\mathbb{N}$, *such that the restriction* $\xi|_{X_o}=\xi_H|_{X_o}:X_o\to Y_o$ *coincides with the* H*-Galois covering for* $H=\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y))$.

Proof. Let us suppose that there exist $m\in\mathbb{N}$, a finite subgroup $H\leq Z(\mathfrak{G}_m)\leq\mathrm{Sym}(X)$ with $H\cap\mathfrak{G}_m=\{\mathrm{Id}_X\}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_H(x)=\{\mathrm{Id}_X\}$ for $\forall x\in X\setminus\{x_1,\dots,x_l\}$ and a birational morphism $\psi:Y\to X/H$, closing the commutative diagram (15). We claim that the function field $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)=\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H$ of the H-quotient X/H coincides with the subfield of the H-invariants of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$. Indeed, the image of the embedding $\xi_H^*:\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)\hookrightarrow\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ consists of H-invariants, as far as for $\forall\rho\in\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)$ and $\forall h\in H$ there holds $h^*(\xi_H^*(\rho))=\rho\circ\xi_H\circ h=\rho\circ\xi_H=\xi_H^*(\rho)$. Conversely, any $\rho\in\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H$ can be viewed as a rational function $\rho:X/H\to\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, $\rho(\xi_H(x))=\rho(x)$ on X/H, since $\rho(x)=h^*(\rho)(x)=\rho(hx)$ for $\forall x\in X$ and $\forall h\in H$. The aforementioned correspondence $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H\to\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)$ establishes the invertibility of the embedding $\xi_H^*:\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)\to\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H$ and allows to identify $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)=\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H$.

On one hand, $|H|=\deg\xi_H=[\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X):\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H]$. On the other hand, H is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H)$, so that $|H|\leq\left|\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H)\right|\leq[\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X):\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H]=|H|$ implies that $H=\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)\supseteq\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H$ is a Galois covering. The commutative diagram (15) of surjective morphisms induces the commutative diagram



of embeddings of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebras, so that $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H=\xi_H^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)=\xi^*\psi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)=\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)\supseteq\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$ is a Galois extension.

Conversely, suppose that $\xi^*:\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)\hookrightarrow\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y))=H$. Then according to Galois theory, the H-fixed subfield is $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H=\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y))}=\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$. Making use of the isomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebras $\xi_H^*:\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)\to\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)^H$, one obtains an isomorphism of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebras $(\xi^*)^{-1}\xi_H^*:\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X/H)\to\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$. Then there is a birational map $\psi:Y\dashrightarrow X/H$ with $\psi^*=(\xi^*)^{-1}\xi_H^*$, which is a morphism $\psi:Y\to X/H$, due to the smoothness of Y. Moreover, $(\psi\xi)^*=\xi^*\psi^*=\xi_H^*$ implies $\psi\xi=\xi_H$, i.e., the presence of (15).

As in the proof of Lemma 14, one covers X by finitely many affine open subsets $X_{i,j}:=X\cap U_i\cap\xi_H^{-1}(W_j)\subset X$, $0\leq i\leq n$, $0\leq j\leq m$ with

$$\xi_H:X_{i,j}\longrightarrow(X/H)\cap W_j\subseteq W_j\simeq\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m,$$

so that $\xi_H|_{X_{i,j}}=(\xi_{i,j,1},\dots,\xi_{i,j,m})$ is given by an ordered m-tuple of rational functions $\xi_{i,j,s}$, $1\leq s\leq m$. Any such $\xi_{i,j,s}=\frac{f_{i,j,s}}{g_{i,j,s,}}$ is a ratio of polynomials $f_{i,j,s},g_{i,j,s}\in$

$\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[x_1,\dots,x_n]$. If $\mathbb{F}_{q^m}$ contains the coefficients of $f_{i,j,s}$ and $g_{i,j,s}$ for all $0 \le i \le n$, $0 \le j \le m$, $1 \le s \le m$ then $\xi_H : X \to X/H$ is $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-equivariant, whereas an H-Galois covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m$-modules.

The group H fixes the subfield $\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$, while $\mathfrak{G}_m$ acts on the constant field $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ of $\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$, so that H centralizes $\mathfrak{G}_m$ and $H \cap \mathfrak{G}_m = \{\mathrm{Id}_X\}$. In order to show that H fixes at most finitely many points on X, note that the finite separable extension $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \supseteq \xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)$ has a primitive element θ, $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) = \xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)(\theta)$. Let $Y \cap W_j \subset W_j \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m$ be an open affine subset of Y, $\widetilde{h_\theta}(z) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)[z]$ be the minimal polynomial of θ over $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) = Q(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y \cap W_j])$ and $h_\theta(y_1,\dots,y_m,z) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[y_1,\dots,y_m,z]$ be a lifting of the element of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[Y \cap W_j][z]$, obtained from $\widetilde{h_\theta}(z)$ by multiplication with a common multiple of the denominators of the coefficients of $\widetilde{h_\theta}(z)$. Then the projective closure Z of

$$Z_o := \{(a_1,\dots,a_m,a_{m+1}) \in (Y \cap W_j) \times \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \,|\, h_\theta(a_1,\dots,a_m,a_{m+1}) = 0\}$$

is birational to X and the fixed points of $h \in H$ on X correspond to the multiple roots of $h_\theta(a_1,\dots,a_m,z) = 0$. These $a = (a_1,\dots,a_m) \in Y \cap W_j \subset W_j \simeq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m$ are the zeros of the discriminant $D_z(h_\theta(y_1,\dots,y_m,z)) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}[y_1,\dots,y_m]$ of h_θ with respect to z. According to $D_z(h_\theta) \notin I(Y \cap W_j)$, the affine algebraic set $V(D_z(h_\theta)) \cap (Y \cap W_j)$ is finite. That shows the presence of at most finitely many fibres of $\xi_H : X \to X/H$ of cardinality $< |H|$ and ξ_H is an H-Galois covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m$-modules.

We have shown that if $\xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ is a finite Galois extension with Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)/\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Y)) = H$, then there is a commutative diagram (15) with a birational morphism $\psi : Y \to X/H$. Thus, one can find a Zariski open subset $Z_o \subseteq X/H$, which is mapped isomorphically by $\psi^{-1} : Z_o \to \psi^{-1}(Z_o) =: Y_o$ onto a Zariski open subset $Y_o \subseteq Y$. Further, $X_o := \xi^{-1}(Y_o) = \xi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(Z_o) = (\psi\xi)^{-1}(Z_o) = \xi_H^{-1}(Z_o)$ is a Zariski open subset of X and the restrictions $\xi|_{X_o} = \xi_H|_{X_o} : X_o \to \xi(X_o) = Y_o$ coincide, if we identify Y_o with $\psi(Y_o) = \xi_H(X_o)$.

□

Here is an immediate consequence of Lemma-Definition 16.

Corollary 18. *If $\xi_H : N \to L = N/H$ is a finite H-Galois covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-modules then the inertia map $e_\xi : N \to \mathbb{N}$ is constant on the fibres of ξ_H and an arbitrary inertia index $e \in e_{\xi_H}(N)$ is a natural divisor of $|H|$.*

In particular, for any $x \in L$ with $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \delta(x)$, $\left|\xi_H^{-1}(x)\right| = |H|$ and any $y \in \xi_H^{-1}(x)$ the pull-back $\xi_H^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)$ is a disjoint union of $\frac{|H|}{e_{\xi_H}(y)}$ $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits of degree $\delta(x)e_{\xi_H}(y)$ and the fibre $\xi_H^{-1}(x)$ is a disjoint union of $\frac{|H|}{e_{\xi_H}(y)}$ $\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}$-orbits of degree $e_{\xi_H}(y)$.

Proof. According to Lemma-Definition 5 (ii), one has $\xi_H^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \coprod_{y \in S_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)$ with $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \delta(x)e_{\xi_H}(y)$ for some subset $S_x \subseteq \xi_H^{-1}(x)$. The fibre $\xi_H^{-1}(x) = \mathrm{Orb}_H(y)$ is the H-orbit of its arbitrary element $y \in \xi_H^{-1}(x)$, so that there is a subset $S'_x \subseteq H$ of cardinality $|S'_x| = |S_x|$, such that $\xi_H^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x) = \coprod_{h \in S'_x} \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(hy)$. Bearing in mind that $\forall h \in H$ centralizes $\mathfrak{G}$, one observes that

$$h : \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \longrightarrow h\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(hy), \quad h(\gamma(y)) = (h\gamma)(y) \quad \text{for} \quad \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}$$

is a bijective map with inverse

$$h^{-1}:\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(hy)\longrightarrow \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y),\quad h^{-1}(\gamma h(y))=h^{-1}(h\gamma(y))=\gamma(y)\quad \text{for}\quad \forall\gamma\in\mathfrak{G}.$$

Therefore $\delta(x)e_{\xi_H}(h(y))=\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(h(y))=\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)=\delta(x)e_{\xi_H}(y)$ for $\forall h\in H$ and the inertia map $e_{\xi_H}:N\to\mathbb{N}$ is constant along the H-orbits on N. Now (ii) from Lemma-Definition 5 reads as $\xi_H^{-1}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)=\coprod\limits_{h\in S'_x}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(h(y))$ with $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(h(y))=\delta(x)e_{\xi_H}(y)$ for $\forall h\in S'_x$. Similarly, Lemma-Definition 5 (iii) takes the form $\xi_H^{-1}(x)=\coprod\limits_{h\in S'_x}\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(h(y))$ with $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_{\delta(x)}}(h(y))=e_{\xi_H}(y)$ for $\forall h\in S'_x$. Counting the elements of the fibre of x, one obtains $|H|=\left|\xi_H^{-1}(x)\right|=|S'_x|\,e_{\xi_H}(y)$, whereas $|S_x|=|S'_x|=\frac{|H|}{e_{\xi_H}(y)}$. □

Let $\xi_H:N\to N/H$ be an H-Galois covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}=\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-modules. The next proposition describes the "twists" of the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-action on N and N/H by the means of an arbitrary element $h\in H$.

Proposition 19. *Let N be an infinite locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G}=\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$, $H\leq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ be a finite subgroup of the centralizer of $\mathfrak{G}$ with $H\cap\mathfrak{G}=\{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_H(x)=\{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ for $\forall x\in N\setminus\{x_1,\dots,x_s\}$ and $\varphi=h\Phi_q^r$ for some $h\in H$, $r\in\mathbb{N}$. Then:*

(i) N is a locally finite module over the profinite completion $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)=\widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$ of the infinite cyclic group $\langle\varphi\rangle\simeq(\mathbb{Z},+)$;

(ii) $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$ is a subgroup of $H\times\mathfrak{G}\leq\mathrm{Sym}(N)$ and the second canonical projection $\mathrm{pr}_2:H\times\mathfrak{G}\to\mathfrak{G}$, $\mathrm{pr}_2(h,\gamma)=\gamma$ provides a locally finite action

$$\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)\times N/H\to N/H,\quad \gamma\mathrm{Orb}_H(x)=\mathrm{pr}_2(\gamma)\mathrm{Orb}_H(x)\quad \textit{for}\quad \forall\gamma\in\mathfrak{G}(\varphi),\quad \forall x\in N \tag{16}$$

of $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$ on N/H;

(iii) the H-Galois covering $\xi_H:N\to N/H$, $\xi_H(x)=\mathrm{Orb}_H(x)$ for $\forall x\in N$ is a finite covering of $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-modules.

Proof. (i) First of all, $\varphi=h\Phi_q^r$ is of infinite order. Otherwise, for h of order m and φ of order l, one has $\mathrm{Id}_N=\varphi^{ml}=h^m\Phi_q^{rml}=\Phi_q^{rml}$ and the Frobenius automorphism $\Phi_q:N\to N$ turns to be of finite order. This is an absurd, justifying $\langle\varphi\rangle\simeq(\mathbb{Z},+)$. Since $H\leq\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$ and $H\cap\mathfrak{G}=\{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$, the product $H\mathfrak{G}\simeq H\times\mathfrak{G}$ of the subgroups H and $\mathfrak{G}$ of $\mathrm{Sym}(N)$ is direct. The subgroup $\langle\varphi\rangle\leq H\mathfrak{G}\leq\mathrm{Sym}(N)$ acts on N, as well as its profinite completion $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)=\widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$. In order to check that the $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-action on N is locally finite, let us denote by m the order of h. For an arbitrary point $x\in N$ with $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)=\delta$, note that $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)_{m\delta}:=\widehat{\langle\varphi^{m\delta}\rangle}=\widehat{\langle\Phi_q^{m\delta r}\rangle}=\mathfrak{G}_{m\delta r}\leq\mathfrak{G}_\delta=\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(x)\leq\mathrm{Stab}_{H\times\mathfrak{G}}(x)$. Therefore $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)_{m\delta}\leq\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)\cap\mathrm{Stab}_{H\times\mathfrak{G}}(x)=\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(x)\leq\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$ and

$$\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(x)=[\mathfrak{G}(\varphi):\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(x)]=$$
$$\frac{[\mathfrak{G}(\varphi):\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)_{m\delta}]}{[\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(x):\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)_{m\delta}]}=\frac{m\delta}{[\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(x):\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)_{m\delta}]}\in\mathbb{N}.$$

That justifies the finiteness of all orbits $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(x)\subset N$. For an arbitrary $n\in\mathbb{N}$, the $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-orbits $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(y)$ of $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(y)=n$ are exactly the ones with stabilizer

$\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(y) = \mathfrak{G}(\varphi)_n$. Let $\delta = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y)$ and note that $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)_{nm} = \widehat{\langle \varphi^{nm} \rangle} = \widehat{\langle \Phi_q^{nmr} \rangle} = \mathfrak{G}_{nmr}$ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{G}$, stabilizing y. Therefore $\mathfrak{G}_{nmr} \leq \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \mathfrak{G}_\delta = \widehat{\langle \Phi_q^\delta \rangle}$, whereas $\Phi_q^{nmr} \in \widehat{\langle \Phi_q^\delta \rangle} \cap \langle \Phi_q \rangle = \langle \Phi_q^\delta \rangle$ and δ divides nmr. For any fixed $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the natural number nmr has finitely many natural divisors δ. Since the $\mathfrak{G}$-action on N is locally finite, for any natural divisor δ of nmr there are at most finitely many $\mathfrak{G}$-orbits $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) \subset N$ of $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}}(y) = \delta$. That justifies the presence of at most finitely many $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-orbits $\mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(y) \subset N$ of $\deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)}(y) = n$ and shows that the $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-action on N is locally finite.

(ii) The map (16) is correctly defined and coincides with the restriction of the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-action on N/H to $\mathrm{pr}_2\mathfrak{G}(\varphi) = \mathrm{pr}_2\widehat{(\langle h\Phi_q^r \rangle)} = \langle \widehat{\mathrm{pr}_2(h\Phi_q^r)} \rangle = \widehat{\langle \Phi_q^r \rangle} = \mathfrak{G}_r$. According to Proposition 12, N/H is a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_r$-module and, therefore, a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-module.

(iii) The $\mathfrak{G}$-equivariance of $\xi_H : N \to N/H$ implies that

$$\xi_H(\gamma x) = \xi_H(\mathrm{pr}_1(\gamma)\mathrm{pr}_2(\gamma)x) = \xi_H(\mathrm{pr}_2(\gamma)x) = \mathrm{pr}_2(\gamma)\xi_H(x) \quad \text{for} \quad \forall x \in N, \ \ \forall \gamma \in \mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$$

with $\mathrm{pr}_1(\gamma) \in H$, $\mathrm{pr}_2(\gamma) \in \mathfrak{G}$. Thus, ξ_H is $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-equivariant and, therefore, a finite covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-modules.

□

Definition 20. *Let $\xi : M \to L$ be a finite covering of locally finite modules over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$. We say that ξ has a Galois closure if there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$, an infinite locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-module N and finite subgroups $H_1 \leq H \leq Z(\mathfrak{G}_m) \leq \mathrm{Sym}(N)$ of the centralizer of $\mathfrak{G}_m$ with $H \cap \mathfrak{G}_m = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_H(z) = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ for $\forall z \in N \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_s\}$, such that $M = N/H_1$, $L = N/H$ and the Galois coverings ξ_{H_1}, ξ_H, associated with H_1, H close the commutative diagram*



Corollary 21. *Let $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ be a smooth irreducible projective curve, defined over $\mathbb{F}_q$ and $\xi : X \to \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ be a finite separable surjective morphism. Then there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-submodules $X_o \subseteq X$, $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with at most finite complements $X \setminus X_o$, $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o$, such that the restriction $\xi : X_o \to L_o$ is a finite covering of $\mathfrak{G}_m$-modules with a Galois closure $\xi_H : Z_o \to L_o$, supported by a smooth quasi-projective curve $Z_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^k(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$.*

Proof. By assumption, $\xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$ is a finite separable extension and admits Galois closure $K \supseteq \xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}))$. Since $[K : \xi^*\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})] < \infty$, there is a smooth irreducible projective curve $Z \subseteq \mathbb{P}^k(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with function field $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z) = K$. The embeddings $\xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z)$, respectively, $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z)$ of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebras correspond to finite

separable dominant rational maps $\xi_o : Z \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, respectively, $\xi_1 : Z \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. Since Z is smooth, $\xi_o : Z \to \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ and $\xi_1 : Z \to X$ are finite separable morphisms. The images $\xi_o(Z)$, respectively, $\xi_1(Z)$ of the non-constant maps ξ_o, ξ_1 of the projective curve Z are closed and, therefore, coincide with $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, respectively, X. According to $\xi_o^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) = \xi^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}))$ and $\xi_1^* = \mathrm{Id} : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \to \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X)$, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z) & \xleftarrow{\xi_1^*} & \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \\ & \nwarrow^{\xi_o^*} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \xi^*} \\ & & \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) \end{array}$$

of embeddings of $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$-algebras, which corresponds to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z & \xrightarrow{\xi_1} & X \\ & \searrow^{\xi_o} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} \\ & & \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \end{array}$$

of finite separable surjective morphisms. By the very construction of Z, the finite separable extensions $\xi_o^* = \xi^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z)$ and $\xi_1^* : \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X) \hookrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z)$ are normal, i.e., Galois. If $H := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z)/\xi_o^*\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})))$, respectively, $H_1 := \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(Z)/\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}(X))$ are the corresponding Galois groups then by Proposition 17 there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $H_1 \leq H \leq Z(\mathfrak{G}_m) \leq \mathrm{Sym}(Z)$, $H \cap \mathfrak{G}_m = \{\mathrm{Id}_Z\}$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_H(z) = \{\mathrm{Id}_Z\}$ for $\forall z \in Z \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_l\}$. Moreover, there are birational morphisms $\psi : \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \to Z/H$, respectively, $\psi_1 : X \to Z/H_1$, closing the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & Z/H_1 \\ & \nearrow^{\xi_{H_1}} & \uparrow{\scriptstyle \psi_1} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{\xi_1} & X \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi_H} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} \\ Z/H & \xleftarrow{\psi} & \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \end{array} .$$

Let $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ be a Zariski open subset on which ψ restricts to an isomorphism $\psi : L_o \to \psi(L_o)$ and identify $L_o \equiv \psi(L_o)$. The pull back $\xi^{-1}(L_o) \subseteq X$ is a Zariski open subset and there exists a Zariski open subset $X_o \subseteq \xi^{-1}(L_o)$ of X with isomorphic restriction $\psi_1 : X_o \to \psi_1(X_o)$. We identify $X_o \equiv \psi_1(X_o)$ and note that $\xi(X_o) \subseteq L_o$, in order to identify $\xi(X_o) \equiv \psi\xi(X_o)$. The subset $Z_o := \xi_1^{-1}(X_o) \subseteq Z$ is Zariski open and its finite

coverings $\xi_1|_{Z_o} \equiv \xi_{H_1}|_{Z_o} : Z_o \to Z_o/H_1 = X_o$, respectively, $\xi_o|_{Z_o} \equiv \xi_H|_{Z_o} : Z_o \to Z_o/H = \xi_o(Z_o) = \xi\xi_1(Z_o) = \xi(X_o)$ coincide. Bearing in mind that $\xi_o = \xi\xi_1$, one concludes the existence of a commutative diagram



of finite coverings of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-modules for some $m \in \mathbb{N}$. The Zariski open subsets $X_o \subseteq X$ and $Z_o = \xi_1^{-1}(X_o) \subseteq Z$ have at most finite complements $X \setminus X_o$, $Z \setminus Z_o$. Therefore $Z = Z_o \coprod \{r_1, \ldots, r_s\}$ for some points $r_1, \ldots, r_s \in Z$. Now, $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = \xi_o(Z) = \xi_o(Z_o) \cup \{\xi_o(r_1), \ldots, \xi_o(r_s)\} = \xi(X_o) \cup \{\xi_o(r_1), \ldots, \xi_o(r_s)\}$ implies that $\xi(X_o)$ has also at most a finite complement $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus \xi(X_o) \subseteq \{\xi_o(r_1), \ldots, \xi_o(r_s)\}$.

□

5 Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for locally finite modules

Proposition 22. *The following conditions are equivalent for a locally finite module M over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ with a polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $deg P_M(t) = d \in \mathbb{N}$ with leading coefficient $\mathrm{LC}(P_M(t)) = a_d \in \mathbb{Z}$ and for $\lambda := \log_q \sqrt[d]{|a_d|} \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$:*

(i) M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a $\mathfrak{G}$-module;

(ii) $q^r + 1 - dq^{\lambda r} \leq |M^{\Phi_{q^r}}| \leq q^r + 1 + dq^{\lambda r}$ for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$;

(iii) there exist constants $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $\nu, r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$|M^{\Phi_{q^{\nu r}}}| \leq q^{\nu r} + 1 + C_1 q^{\lambda \nu r} \quad \textit{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r_1 \quad \textit{and}$$

$$|M^{\Phi_{q^{\nu r}}}| \geq q^{\nu r} + 1 - C_2 q^{\lambda \nu r} \quad \textit{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r_2.$$

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ If $P_M(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{d} (1 - q^{\lambda} e^{i\varphi_j})$ for some $\varphi_j \in [0, 2\pi)$ then

$$\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = \sum_{j=1}^{d} q^{\lambda r} e^{ir\varphi_j} \quad for \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}$$

by Proposition 10. Therefore, the absolute value

$$\left|\left|M^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - (q^r + 1)\right| = \left|\sum_{j=1}^{d} q^{\lambda r} e^{ir\varphi_j}\right| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{d} \left|q^{\lambda r} e^{ir\varphi_j}\right| = \sum_{j=1}^{d} q^{\lambda r} = dq^{\lambda r}$$

and there holds (ii).

$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$ is trivial

$(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ Note that the power series $H(t) := \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d} \frac{\omega_j^{\nu} t}{1-\omega_j^{\nu} t}$ has radius of convergence $\rho = \min\left(\frac{1}{|\omega_1|^{\nu}}, \ldots, \frac{1}{|\omega_d|^{\nu}}\right)$, i.e., $H(t) < \infty$ converges for $\forall t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| < \rho$ and $H(t) = \infty$ diverges for $\forall t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| > \rho$. Making use of $\frac{1}{1-\omega_j^{\nu} t} = \sum\limits_{i=0}^{\infty} \omega_j^{\nu i} t^i$ and exchanging the summation order, one represents

$$H(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \omega_j^{\nu(i+1)} \right) t^{i+1}.$$

Let $C := \max(C_1, C_2)$, $r_0 := \max(r_1, r_2)$ and note that assumption (iii) implies that

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{d} \omega_j^{\nu r} \right| = \left| \left| M^{\Phi_q^r} \right| - (q^{\nu r} + 1) \right| \leq C q^{\lambda \nu r} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r_0,$$

according to Proposition 10. Thus, $\left| \sum\limits_{j=1}^{d} \omega_j^{\nu(i+1)} \right| \leq C q^{\lambda \nu (i+1)}$ for $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \geq r_0 - 1$ and

$$|H(t)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{j=1}^{d} \omega_j^{\lambda(i+1)} \right| t^{i+1} \leq C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} q^{\lambda \nu (i+1)} t^{i+1} = C \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (q^{\lambda \nu} t)^{i+1}.$$

As a result, $H(t)$ converges for $\forall t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| < \frac{1}{q^{\lambda \nu}}$, whereas $\frac{1}{q^{\lambda \nu}} \leq \rho \leq \frac{1}{|\omega_j|^{\nu}}$ for $\forall 1 \leq j \leq d$. The function $f(x) = x^{\nu}$ increases for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$, as far as its derivative $f'(x) = \nu x^{\nu - 1} \geq 0$. Thus, $|\omega_j|^{\nu} \leq q^{\lambda \nu}$ implies $|\omega_j| \leq q^{\lambda}$. The leading coefficient $a_d = \mathrm{LC}(P_M(t)) \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ of $P_M(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$|a_d| = \left| \prod_{j=1}^{d} (-\omega_j) \right| = \prod_{j=1}^{d} |\omega_j| \leq q^{\lambda d} = \left(\sqrt[d]{|a_d|} \right)^d = |a_d|$$

with equality, so that $|\omega_j| = q^{\lambda}$ for $\forall 1 \leq j \leq d$ and M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$.

□

Corollary 23. *Let M be an infinite locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q) = \widehat{\langle \Phi_q \rangle}$ with ζ-function $\zeta_M(t) = \frac{P_M(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$ for a polynomial $P_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Suppose that $h \in Z(\mathfrak{G}) \leq \mathrm{Sym}(M)$ is an element of order m with $\langle h \rangle \cap \mathfrak{G} = \{\mathrm{Id}_M\}$, $\mathrm{Stab}_{\langle h \rangle}(x) = \{\mathrm{Id}_M\}$ for $\forall x \in M \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_s\}$, $\mathfrak{G}(h\Phi_q^n) = \widehat{\langle h\Phi_q^n \rangle}$ is the profinite completion of $(\mathbb{Z}, +) \simeq \langle h\Phi_q^n \rangle \leq \mathrm{Sym}(M)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}(\varphi)$-module $M(\varphi)$, supported by M has ζ-function $\zeta_{M(\varphi)}(t) = \frac{P_{M(\varphi)}(t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$ for some polynomial $P_{M(\varphi)}(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$. Then M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module if and only if $M(\varphi)$ is subject to the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a module over $\mathfrak{G}(h\Phi_q^n)$.*

Proof. By $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ from Proposition 22, the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue over $\mathfrak{G}(h\Psi_q^n)$ with $(h\Phi_q^n)^m = \Phi_q^{mn}$ is equivalent to

$$\left|M^{\Phi_q^{mnr}}\right| \leq q^{mnr} + 1 + d'q^{\lambda mnr}, \quad \left|M^{\Phi_q^{mnr}}\right| \geq q^{mnr} + 1 - d'q^{\lambda mnr} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $d' = \deg P_{M(\varphi)}(t)$. According to $(iii) \Rightarrow (i)$ from Proposition 22, that is a necessary and sufficient condition for the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue over $\mathfrak{G}$.

□

Let M be a locally finite module over the profinite completion $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$ of an infinite cyclic subgroup $(\mathbb{Z}, +) \simeq \langle\varphi\rangle \leq \mathrm{Sym}(M)$. Consider the graph

$$\Gamma^{\varphi}(M) := \{(x, \varphi(x)) \in M \times M \,|\, x \in M\}$$

of $\varphi : M \to M$, the diagonal

$$\Delta(M) := \{(x, x) \in M \times M \,|\, x \in M\}$$

and the fibre

$$F_2(M) := \{(x, x_o) \in M \times M \,|\, x \in M\}$$

of the second canonical projection $\mathrm{pr}_2 : M \times M \to M$, $\mathrm{pr}_2(x, y) = y$ through $x_o \in M$. For $D(M) = \Delta(M)$ or $D(M) = F_2(M)$, let us introduce the intersection number

$$(\Gamma^{\varphi}.D)(M) := |\Gamma^{\varphi}(M) \cap D(M)|$$

as the cardinality of the set theoretic intersection of $\Gamma^{\varphi}(M)$ with $D(M)$.

If $\xi : M \to L$ is a finite covering of locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \widehat{\langle\varphi\rangle}$-modules, let us abbreviate

$$(\Gamma^{\varphi}.\Delta)(M \setminus L) := (\Gamma^{\varphi}.\Delta)(M) - (\Gamma^{\varphi}.\Delta)(L).$$

Definition 24. *A finite covering $\xi : M \to L$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-modules is of order $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ if there exist constants $C \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $r_o \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$(\Gamma^{\Phi_q^r}.\Delta)(M \setminus L) \leq C[(\Gamma^{\Phi_q^r}.F_2)(L)]^{\lambda} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r_o.$$

Definition 25. *Let $\xi_H : N \to L$ be an H-Galois covering of locally finite modules over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$, for some finite subgroup $H \leq Z(\mathfrak{G}) \leq \mathrm{Sym}(N)$ with $H \cap \mathfrak{G} = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_H(z) = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ for $\forall z \in N \setminus \{z_1, \ldots, z_l\}$. We say that ξ_H is of H-order $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ if for $\forall h \in H$ there exist constants $C(h) \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $r(h) \in \mathbb{N}$, such that*

$$(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^r}.\Delta)(N \setminus L) \leq C(h)[(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^r}.F_2)(L)]^{\lambda} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r(h).$$

Note that the function $f(\lambda) = a^{\lambda}$ of λ is increasing for $a \geq 1$, as far as its derivative $f'(\lambda) = \ln(a)a^{\lambda} \geq 0$. Thus, if $\xi : M \to L$ is a finite covering of order $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$, $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\xi : M \to L$ is of order λ_2. Similarly, if $\xi_H : N \to L$ is an H-Galois covering of H-order $\lambda_1 \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ and $\lambda_2 > \lambda_1$, $\lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ then $\xi_H : N \to L$ is of H-order λ_2.

Proposition 26. *Let $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ be a smooth irreducible projective curve. Then:*

(i) any finite covering $\xi : X_o \to L_o$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-submodules $X_o \subseteq X$, $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with $|X \setminus X_o| < \infty$, $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o\right| < \infty$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is of order $\frac{1}{2}$;

(ii) any H-Galois covering $\xi_H : X_o \to L_o$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-submodules $X_o \subseteq X$, $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with $|X \setminus X_o| < \infty$, $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o\right| < \infty$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ is of H-order $\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. It suffices to show (ii) for an arbitrary $h \in H$ and to apply the resulting bound to the case of $h = \mathrm{Id}_{X_o}$, in order to derive (i). To this end, let X be of genus g and

$$F_1(X) := \{(x_o, x) \in X \times X \,|\, x \in X\}$$

be a fibre of the first canonical projection $\mathrm{pr}_1 : X \times X \to X$, $\mathrm{pr}_1(x_1, x_2) = x_1$. Note that $\Gamma^{\varphi}(X) \subset X \times X$ intersects transversally $\Delta(X) \subset X \times X$, $F_2(X) \subset X \times X$, so that $(\Gamma^{\varphi}.\Delta)(X)$ and $(\Gamma^{\varphi}.F_2)(X)$ for X as a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module coincide with the corresponding intersection numbers of the divisors $\Gamma^{\varphi}(X)$, $\Delta(X)$, $F_2(X)$. In order to apply a consequence of the Hodge Index Theorem on $S := X \times X$, let us recall that $\Delta(X) \subset S$ is a smooth irreducible curve of genus g, so that $(\Delta.\Delta)(X) = \Delta^2(X) = -(2g-2)$. Further, $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}.\Delta)(X) = \left|X^{h\Phi_q}\right|$, $(\Delta.F_1)(X) = (\Delta.F_2)(X) = 1$, $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}.F_1)(X) = |\{(x, h\Phi_q x) = (y_o, y) \,|\, x, y \in X\}| = 1$ are immediate. We claim that

$$\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}(X) \cap F_2(X) = \{(x, h\Phi_q(x) = (y, y_o) \,|\, x, y \in X\}$$

is of cardinality $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}.F_2)(X) = q$. More precisely, $h\Phi_q(x) = y_o$ is equivalent to $\Phi_q(x) = h^{-1}(y_o)$. The Frobenius automorphism Φ_q preserves the standard affine open subsets $U_i = \{[z_0 : \ldots : z_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \,|\, z_i \neq 0\}$ and it suffices to count the solutions of $\Phi_q(x) = h^{-1}(y_o)$ on an affine open neighborhood of $h^{-1}(y_o)$. Let us fix a local parameter t on $X \cap U_i$ at a point, different from $h^{-1}(y_o)$, so that $t(h^{-1}(y_o)) = b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^*$. Then view $x = \sum\limits_{i=i_o}^{\infty} x_i t^i$ as a Laurent series with coefficients $x_i \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ and reduce the equation $\Phi_q(x) = h^{-1}(y_o)$ to $\Phi_q\left(\sum\limits_{i=i_o}^{\infty} x_i t^i\right) = \left(\sum\limits_{i=i_o}^{\infty} x_i t^i\right)^q = \left(\sum\limits_{i=i_o}^{\infty} x_i^q t^{qi}\right) = b$. Comparing the coefficients of t, one concludes that the solutions x have $x_i = 0$ for $\forall i \neq 0$ and $x_0^q = b$. For any fixed $b \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^*$ there are exactly q solutions $x_0 \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}$ of $x_0^q = b$ and $(\Gamma^{\Phi_q}.F_2)(X) = q$. The self-intersection number of the graph $\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}(X)$ of $h\Phi_q : X \to X$ is computed by the means of the adjunction formula. Namely, $\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}(X) \subset X \times X$ is a smooth curve of genus g and the canonical bundle K_S of $S = X \times X$ is numerically equivalent to $(2g-2)([F_1(X) + F_2(X)]$. Making use of the adjunction formula

$$2g - 2 = 2g(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}(X)) - 2 = \Gamma^{h\Phi_q}(X).(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q}(X) + K_S) = \Gamma^{h\Phi_q}(X)^2 + (2g-2)(1+q),$$

one concludes that $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q})^2(X) = -q(2g-2)$. The Hodge Index Theorem on $S = X \times X$ asserts that if a divisor $E \subset S$ has vanishing intersection number $E.H = 0$ with some ample divisor $H \subset S$ then the self-intersection number $E^2 \leq 0$ of E is non-positive. For an arbitrary divisor $D \subset S$, the application of the Hodge Index Theorem to the divisors $E := D - [(D.F_2)(X)]F_1(X) - [(D.F_1)(X)]F_2(X)$ and $H := F_1(X) + F_2(X)$ yields

$$D^2 \leq 2[(D.F_1)(X)][(D.F_2)(X)], \tag{17}$$

making use of $(F_1)^2(X) = (F_2)^2(X) = 0$, $(F_1.F_2)(X) = 1$ (cf.Proposition 3.9 from [5]). If $D = a\Delta(X) + b\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}(X)$ for some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $b \neq 0$, then (17) reads as

$$f\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) = g\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^2 + \left[q^{rm} + 1 - \left|X^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right|\right]\left(\frac{a}{b}\right) + q^{rm}g \geq 0 \quad \text{for} \quad \forall \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Q}.$$

By continuity, the quadratic polynomial

$$f(z) = gz^2 + \left[q^{rm} + 1 - \left|X^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right|\right]z + q^{rm}g \geq 0$$

takes non-negative values for $\forall z \in \mathbb{R}$. Therefore, the discriminant

$$D(f) = \left[q^{rm} + 1 - \left|X^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right|\right]^2 - 4q^{rm}g^2 \leq 0$$

or $\left|\left|X^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right| - (q^{rm}+1)\right| \leq 2gq^{\frac{rm}{2}}$. In particular,

$$\left|X^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right| \leq q^{rm} + 1 + 2gq^{\frac{rm}{2}} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{18}$$

We are going to show that

$$(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}.\Delta)(X_o \setminus L_o) \leq (2\sqrt{2}g+1)\left[(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}.F_2)(L_o)\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}, \tag{19}$$

in order to conclude the proof of the proposition. To this end, note that the intersection number $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}.\Delta)(X_o) = \left|X_o^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right| \leq \left|X^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right|$. Representing the projective line as a disjoint union $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) = L_o \coprod \{x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha\}$, one observes that $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{rm}}) = \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^{rm}} = L_o^{\Phi_q^{rm}} \coprod \{x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha\}^{\Phi_q^{rm}}$ and concludes that

$$(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}.\Delta)(L_o) = (\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{rm}}.\Delta)(L_o) = \left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{rm}}\right| \geq \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{rm}})\right| - \alpha = q^{rm} + 1 - \alpha.$$

Further,

$$\begin{array}{c}(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}.F_2)(L_o) = (\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{rm}}.F_2)(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus \{x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha\}) = \\ (\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{rm}}.F_2)(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) - (\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{rm}}.F_2)(\{x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha\}) \geq q^{rm} - \alpha\end{array} \tag{20}$$

by $(\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{rm}}.F_2)(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) = q^{rm}$ for the smooth irreducible projective curve $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. For sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq r_o$ one has $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{rm}{2}} < \frac{1}{2}q^{rm}$. Combining with (18), one derives that

$$\begin{array}{r}(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}.\Delta)(L_o) + (2\sqrt{2}g+1)[(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}.F_2)(L_o)]^{\frac{1}{2}} \geq \\ q^{rm} + 1 - \alpha + (2\sqrt{2}g+1)(q^{rm}-\alpha)^{\frac{1}{2}} > q^{rm} + 1 - \frac{1}{2}q^{\frac{rm}{2}} + (2\sqrt{2}g+1)(q^{rm} - \frac{1}{2}q^{rm})^{\frac{1}{2}} = \\ q^{rm} + 1 + \left(2g + \frac{\sqrt{2}-1}{2}\right)q^{\frac{rm}{2}} > q^{rm} + 1 + 2gq^{\frac{rm}{2}} \geq \left|X^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right| \geq \left|X_o^{h\Phi_q^{rm}}\right|.\end{array}$$

□

Corollary 27. *(i) If L is a $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-submodule of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with at most finite complement $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L$ then any finite covering $\xi : M \to L$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules is of order $\lambda \geq 1$.*

(ii) If L is a $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-submodule of $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L\right| < \infty$ then any finite H-Galois covering $\xi_H : N \to L$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-modules is of H-order $\lambda \geq 1$.

Proof. It suffices to show that for $\forall h \in H$ there exist constants $C \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $r_o \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$(\Gamma^{h\Phi_{q^r}}.\Delta)(N \setminus L) \leq C[(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^r}.F_2)(L)] \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r_o.$$

To this end, assume that $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L\right| = \alpha$ and note that $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^r}.F_2)(L) \geq q^r - \alpha$ by (20) from the proof of Proposition 26. For a sufficiently large $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq r_o$ one has $\alpha \leq \frac{1}{2}q^r$, whereas $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^r}.F_2)(L) \geq \frac{1}{2}q^r$. On the other hand, $N^{h\Phi_q^r} \subseteq \xi_H^{-1}(L^{\Phi_q^r})$, as far as for $\forall z \in N$ with $h\Phi_q^r(z) = z$ there holds $\Phi_q^r\xi_H(z) = \xi_H(\Phi_q^r z) = \xi_H(h\Phi_q^r z) = \xi_H(z)$. Therefore $\left|N^{h\Phi_q^r}\right| \leq \left|\xi_H^{-1}(L^{\Phi_q^r})\right| \leq |H|\left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right|$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^r}.\Delta)(N \setminus L) = \left|N^{h\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right| \leq |H|\left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right| - \left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = (|H|-1)\left|L^{\Phi_q^r}\right| \leq \\ (|H|-1)\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^r}\right| = (|H|-1)(q^r+1) < 2(|H|-1)q^r = \\ 4(|H|-1)\frac{q^r}{2} \leq 4(|H|-1)(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^r}.F_2)(L).\end{aligned}$$

□

The following simple lemma is crucial for the proof of the main Theorem 29.

Lemma 28. *Let $\xi_H : N \to L$ be an H-Galois covering of infinite locally finite modules over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ for some finite subgroup $H \leq Z(\mathfrak{G})$ with $H \cap \mathfrak{G} = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ and $\mathfrak{Stab}_H(y) = \{\mathrm{Id}_N\}$ for $\forall y \in N \setminus \{y_1, \ldots, y_l\}$. Then*

$$\sum_{h \in H}\left|N^{h\Phi_q}\right| = |H|\left|L^{\Phi_q}\right|.$$

Proof. Let $x \in L^{\Phi_q}$, $y \in \xi_H^{-1}(x)$, $\varepsilon(y) = |\mathrm{Stab}_H(y)|$ and $\nu(y)$ be the number of times y is counted in $\sum\limits_{h \in H}\left|N^{h\Phi_q}\right|$. Then x has $\left|\xi_H^{-1}(x)\right| = |\mathrm{Orb}_H(y)| = [H : \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)] = \frac{|H|}{\varepsilon(y)}$ pre-images in N. It suffices to show that $\varepsilon(y) = \nu(y)$ for $\forall y \in \xi_H^{-1}(x)$, in order to conclude that the contribution of x to $\sum\limits_{h \in H}\left|N^{h\Phi_q}\right|$ equals $|H|$ and to prove the lemma. To this end, note that $y \in N^{h\Phi_q}$ exactly when $h\Phi_q = \Phi_q h \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap \Phi_q H$. We claim that $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap \Phi_q H = \Phi_q h_o \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)$ for some $h_o \in H$ with $h_o\Phi_q(y) = y$. The inclusion $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap \Phi_q H \supseteq \Phi_q h_o \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)$ is clear. For the opposite inclusion, if $\Phi_q h_1 \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap \Phi_q H$ then $h_o^{-1}h_1 = (\Phi_q h_o)^{-1}(\Phi_q h_1) \in \mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap H = \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)$, whereas $\Phi_q h_1 = \Phi_q h_o(h_o^{-1}h_1) \in \Phi_q h_o \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)$ and $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap \Phi_q H \subseteq \Phi_q h_o \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)$. That justifies $\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap \Phi_q H = \Phi_q h_o \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)$ and implies that

$$\nu(y) = |\mathrm{Stab}_{\mathfrak{G}\times H}(y) \cap \Phi_q H| = |\Phi_q h_o \mathrm{Stab}_H(y)| = |\mathrm{Stab}_H(y)| = \varepsilon(y).$$

□

Here is the main result of the article.

Theorem 29. *Let M be an infinite locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ with a polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{d} a_j t^j \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of $\deg P_M(t) = d \in \mathbb{N}$ with leading coefficient $\mathrm{LC}(P_M(t)) = a_d \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $\lambda := \log_q \sqrt[d]{|a_d|} \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$. Suppose that there exist $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-submodules $M_o \subseteq M$, $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with $|M \setminus M_o| < \infty$, $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o\right| < \infty$, which admit a finite covering $\xi : M_o \to L_o$ of $\mathfrak{G}_m$-modules with a Galois closure $\xi_H : N_o \to L_o$.*

(i) If $\lambda \geq 1$ then M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a $\mathfrak{G}$-module.

(ii) If $\lambda < 1$, ξ is of order λ and ξ_H is of H-order λ then M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a $\mathfrak{G}$-module.

Proof. According to Corollary 27, an arbitrary finite covering $\xi : M_o \to L_o$ is of order ≥ 1 and an arbitrary finite H-Galois covering $\xi_H : N_o \to L_o$ is of H-order ≥ 1. That is why, it suffices to show that the existence of a finite covering $\xi : M_o \to L_o$ of $\mathfrak{G}_m$-modules of order $\lambda := \log_1 \sqrt[d]{|a_d|} \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ with a Galois closure $\xi_H : N_o \to L_o$ of H-order λ suffices for a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-module M with $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{d} a_j t^j \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ to satisfy the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a $\mathfrak{G}$-module.

By assumption, there exist constants $C_o \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $r_o \in \mathbb{N}$, such that

$$\left|M_o^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| \leq \left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| + C_o[(\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{mr}}.F_2)(L_o)]^{\lambda} \leq \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| + C_o[(\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{mr}}.F_2)(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}))]^{\lambda} \leq$$
$$q^{mr} + 1 + C_o q^{\lambda mr} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N},\, \forall r \geq r_o.$$

If $M \setminus M_o = \{y_1, \ldots, y_\beta\}$ then $\left|M^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| - \left|M_o^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| = \left|(M \setminus M_o)^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| = \left|\{y_1, \ldots, y_\beta\}^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| \leq \beta$, whereas $\left|M^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| \leq \left|M_o^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| + \beta \leq q^{mr} + 1 + C_o q^{\lambda mr} + \beta$. There exists $\rho_o \in \mathbb{N}$, $\rho_o \geq r_o$ with $\beta \leq q^{\lambda mr}$ for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq \rho_o$. Introducing $C_1 := C_o + 1$, one obtains

$$\left|M^{\Phi_q^{mr}}\right| \leq q^{mr} + 1 + C_1 q^{\lambda mr} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N},\, r \geq \rho_o.$$

Note that Lemma 28 provides

$$\sum_{h \in H} \left|N_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| = |H| \left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \tag{21}$$

and

$$\sum_{h \in H_1} \left|N_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| = |H_1| \left|M_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N} \tag{22}$$

and some multiple $\nu \in m\mathbb{N}$ of m, for which

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_o & \xrightarrow{\xi_{H_1}} & M_o \\ & \searrow^{\xi_H} & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \xi} \\ & & L_o \end{array}$$

is a commutative diagram of finite coverings of $\mathfrak{G}_\nu = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^\nu})$-modules. If $r_1 \in \mathbb{N}$, $r_1 \geq \frac{\nu}{m}\rho_o$, then upper bound $\left|M^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \leq q^{\nu r} + 1 + C_1 q^{\lambda\nu r}$ holds for any $r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $r \geq r_1$. Towards a lower bound on $\left|M^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right|$, one makes use of (21), (22), in order to note that

$$|H_1|\left|M^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \geq |H_1|\left|M_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| = \sum_{h\in H_1}\left|N_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| + |H|\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - \sum_{h\in H}\left|N_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| =$$
$$|H|\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - \sum_{h\in H\setminus H_1}\left|N_o^{h\Phi_{q^{\nu r}}}\right| \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}.$$

If $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o = \{x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha\}$ then there is an upper bound $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - \left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| = \left|(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o)^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| = \left|\{x_1, \ldots, x_\alpha\}^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \leq \alpha$, whereas $\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \geq q^{\nu r} + 1 - \alpha$. There exists $\rho \in \mathbb{N}$ with $\alpha \leq q^{\lambda\nu r}$ for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq \rho$. Thus, $\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \geq q^{\nu r} + 1 - q^{\lambda\nu r}$ for $\forall r \geq \rho$. By assumption, $\xi_H : N_o \to L_o$ is of H-order λ, so that for $\forall h \in H$ there are constants $C(h) \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $r(h) \in \mathbb{N}$ with

$$\left|N_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \leq \left|L_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| + C(h)[(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}.F_2)(L_o)]^\lambda \leq \left|L_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| + C(h)q^{\lambda\nu r} \text{ for } \forall r \in \mathbb{N},\, r \geq r(h)$$

by $(\Gamma^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}.F_2)(L_o) = (\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}.F_2)(L_o) \leq (\Gamma^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}.F_2)(\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})) = \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| = \left|\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{F}_{q^{\nu r}})\right| = q^{\nu r}$. If $r_2 := \max\{\rho, r(h) \,|\, h \in H\}$ and $C' := \max\{C(h) \,|\, h \in H\} \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ then

$$-\left|N_o^{h\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \geq -\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - C'q^{\lambda\nu r} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r_2.$$

As a result,

$$|H_1|\left|M^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \geq |H|\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - (|H| - |H_1|)\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - (|H| - |H_1|)C'q^{\lambda\nu r} =$$
$$|H_1|\left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - (|H| - |H_1|)C'q^{\lambda\nu r} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}, \quad r \geq r_2.$$

Dividing by $|H_1| \in \mathbb{N}$, one obtains

$$\left|M^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \geq \left|L_o^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| - \left(\frac{|H| - |H_1|}{|H_1|}\right)C'q^{\lambda\nu r} \geq q^{\nu r} + 1 - \left[\left(\frac{|H| - |H_1|}{|H_1|}\right)C' + 1\right]q^{\lambda\nu r}.$$

Thus, for $C_2 := \left(\frac{|H|-|H_1|}{|H_1|}\right)C' + 1 \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ one has $\left|M^{\Phi_q^{\nu r}}\right| \geq q^{\nu r} + 1 - C_2 q^{\lambda\nu r}$ for $\forall r \in \mathbb{N}$, $r \geq r_2$. In such a way, we have shown that if there is a finite covering $\xi : M_o \to L_o$ of locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-modules of order $\lambda := \log_q \sqrt[d]{|a_d|} \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$ with Galois closure $\xi_H : N_o \to L_o$ of H-order λ for $\mathfrak{G}_m$-submodules $M_o \subseteq M$, $L_o \subseteq \mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with $|M \setminus M_o| < \infty$, $\left|\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}) \setminus L_o\right| < \infty$, then M fulfils condition (iii) from Proposition 22 and M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G}$.

□

Here is an example of a locally finite $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module M, which satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ and is not isomorphic (as a $\widehat{\mathbb{Z}}$-module) to a smooth irreducible projective variety.

Proposition 30. *For arbitrary natural numbers $d, m \in \mathbb{N}$, $d \leq m$ and an arbitrary field $\mathbb{F}_q$, there is a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-module M with ζ-function*

$$\zeta_M(t) = \frac{(1-qt)^d}{(1-t)(1-q^m t)}.$$

In particular, M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ and for $m \geq 3$ there is no smooth irreducible algebraic variety $Y/\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, which is isomorphic to M as a locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G}_m$.

Proof. Let

$$Z := \cup_{i=1}^{d} V(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \cup \{z_1, \ldots, z_{d-2}\} \subset \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m$$

be the union of the affine lines $V(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) = 0^{i-1} \times \overline{\mathbb{F}_q} \times 0^{m-i}$ with the points $z_j := 0^{j-1} \times 1 \times 0^{m-1-j} \times 1$, $1 \leq j \leq d-2$ and

$$U := \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m \setminus Z$$

be the complement of Z. Then Z and U are invariant under the action of the absolute Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ of $\mathbb{F}_q$ with topological generator Φ_q, $\Phi_q(a_1, \ldots, a_m) = (a_1^q, \ldots, a_m^q)$ for $\forall (a_1, \ldots, a_m) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m$. The $\mathfrak{G}$-action on U is locally finite as a restriction of the locally finite $\mathfrak{G}$-action on $\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m$. In order to compute the ζ-function of U as a $\mathfrak{G}$-module, note that

$$Z = \left(\coprod_{i=1}^{d} 0^{i-1} \times \overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^* \times 0^{m-i} \right) \coprod \{z_1, \ldots, z_{d-2}, 0^m\}$$

is a disjoint union of d punctured affine lines with $d-1$ points, fixed by $\mathfrak{G}$. Therefore

$$Z^{\Phi_q^r} = \left(\coprod_{i=1}^{d} 0^{i-1} \times \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^* \times 0^{m-i} \right) \coprod \{z_1, \ldots, z_{d-2}, 0^m\} \quad \text{for} \quad \forall r \in \mathbb{N}$$

and $U^{\Phi_q^r} = (\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}^m)^{\Phi_q^r} \setminus Z^{\Phi_q^r} = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}^m \setminus Z^{\Phi_q^r}$ is of cardinality

$$\left| U^{\Phi_q^r} \right| = q^{rm} - [d(q^r - 1) + d - 1] = q^{rm} - dq^r + 1.$$

Making use of (5), one computes that

$$\log \zeta_U(t) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left| U^{\Phi_q^r} \right| \frac{t^r}{r} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{q^m t}{r} \right)^r - d \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{(qt)^r}{r} \right) + \left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \frac{t^r}{r} \right) =$$

$$- \log(1 - q^m t) + d \log(1 - qt) - \log(1 - t) = \log \frac{(1-qt)^d}{(1-t)(1-q^m t)}.$$

Thus, the ζ-function of U as a locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$ is

$$\zeta_U(t) = \frac{(1-qt)^d}{(1-t)(1-q^m t)}.$$

Now, we define such a $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$-action on U which turns the set $M := U$ into a locally finite $\mathfrak{G}_m$-module with $\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_U(t)$. More precisely, let

$$\Phi_{q^m} : M \longrightarrow M, \quad \Phi_{q^m}(a) := (a_1^q, \ldots, a_m^q) \quad \text{for} \quad \forall a \in M.$$

The resulting action $\langle \Phi_{q^m} \rangle \times M \to M$ is locally finite, as far as its orbits coincide with the orbits of the original $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-action on U. Moreover, it has a unique extension to the profinite completion $\mathfrak{G}_m = \widehat{\langle \Phi_{q^m} \rangle}$ by the rule

$$\mathfrak{G}_m \times M \longrightarrow M,$$

$$\left(\Phi_{q^m}^{l_s (\mathrm{mod} s)}\right)_{s \in \mathbb{N}} (a) := \left(\Phi_{q^m}\right)^{l_\delta} (a) \text{ for } \forall a \in M \text{ of } \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\langle \Phi_{q^m} \rangle}(a) = \deg \mathrm{Orb}_{\mathfrak{G}_m}(a) = \delta.$$

According to the coincidence $M^{(\Phi_{q^m})^r} = U^{\Phi_q^r}$ of the fixed point sets, the ζ-function of M as a $\mathfrak{G}_m$-module is

$$\zeta_M(t) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left| M^{(\Phi_{q^m})^r} \right| \frac{t^r}{r}\right) = \exp\left(\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \left| U^{\Phi_q^r} \right| \frac{t^r}{r}\right) = \zeta_U(t).$$

By the very definition, M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ as a module over $\mathfrak{G}_m$. Let us assume the existence of a smooth irreducible projective variety $Y/\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$, which is isomorphic to M as a module over $\mathfrak{G}_m = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_{q^m})$. Then by the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue for Y of dimension $\dim Y = n$ (cf.Conjecture 2.17 from [5]), the ζ-function

$$\zeta_M(t) = \zeta_Y(t) = \frac{\prod\limits_{s=1}^{n} \prod\limits_{j_s} (1 - q^{\frac{ms}{2}} e^{i\varphi_{j_s}} t)}{(1-t)\left[\prod\limits_{s=1}^{n-1} \prod\limits_{j_s} (1 - q^{ms} e^{i\varphi_{j_s}} t)\right](1 - q^{mn} t)}.$$

The specific form of $\zeta_M(t)$ requires $n = 1$ and $m = 2$. That shows the non-existence of a smooth irreducible $Y/\mathbb{F}_{q^m} \subseteq \mathbb{P}^r(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ with $m \geq 3$, which is isomorphic to M as a locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G}_m$.

□

The local Weil ζ-function of a smooth irreducible curve $X/\mathbb{F}_q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^n(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ of genus g satisfies the functional equation

$$\zeta_X(t) = \zeta_X\left(\frac{1}{qt}\right) q^{g-1} t^{2g-2}$$

(cf.Proposition V.1.13 from [7]). This is not true for an arbitrary locally finite module M over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$. Even if M satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ and $\zeta_M(t) = \frac{\prod\limits_{j=1}^{d}(1 - q^\lambda e^{i\varphi_j} t)}{(1-t)(1-qt)}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{>0}$, $\varphi_j \in [0, 2\pi)$, the ratio

$$\frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_M\left(\frac{1}{q^s t}\right)} = Ct^r \quad \text{with} \quad C \in \mathbb{C}, \quad r \in \mathbb{Z}$$

has no poles and zeros outside the origin $0 \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $s = 1$, $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, $\zeta_M(t) = \mathrm{sign}(a_d)\zeta_M\left(\frac{1}{qt}\right) q^{\frac{d}{2}-1}t^{d-2}$ or $s = 0$, $\lambda = d = 1$, $\zeta_M(t) = \frac{1}{1-t}$. More precisely,

$$\frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_M\left(\frac{1}{q^s t}\right)} = \frac{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-q^{\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j}t)\right](1-q^s t)(1-q^{s-1}t)}{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-q^{s-\lambda}e^{-i\varphi_j}t)\right](1-t)(1-qt)} a_d^{-1} q^{sd-2s+1}t^{d-2}$$

for the leading coefficient $\mathrm{LC}(P_M(t)) = a_d = (-1)^d q^{\lambda d} e^{i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d}\varphi_j\right)} \in \mathbb{C}^*$ of the polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-q^{\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j}t)$. Since $P_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t] \subset \mathbb{R}[t]$ is invariant under complex conjugation, the sets $\left\{e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\right\} = \left\{e^{-i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\right\}$ coincide, when counted with multiplicities and

$$\frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_M\left(\frac{1}{q^s t}\right)} = \frac{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-q^{\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j}t)\right](1-q^s t)(1-q^{s-1}t)}{\left[\prod_{j=1}^{d}(1-q^{s-\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j}t)\right](1-t)(1-qt)} a_d^{-1} q^{sd-2s+1}t^{d-2}.$$

If $\frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_M\left(\frac{1}{q^s t}\right)}$ has no zeros and poles outside $0 \in C$ then the sets

$$\{q^s, q^{s-1}, q^{\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\} = \{q, 1, q^{s-\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\}$$

coincide, when counted with multiplicities. The assumption $\lambda > 0$ implies $q^s \neq q^{s-\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j}$ for $\forall 1 \le j \le d$ and specifies that $q^s \in \{q, 1\}$. Therefore $s = 1$ or $s = 0$.

In the case of $s = 1$, the coincidence $\{q^{\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\} = \{q^{1-\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\}$ of sets with multiplicities requires $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ and specifies that

$$\zeta_M(t) = \mathrm{sign}(a_d)\zeta_M\left(\frac{1}{qt}\right) q^{\frac{d}{2}-1}t^{d-2},$$

according to $|a_d| = q^{\frac{d}{2}}$.

Suppose that $s = 0$. Then the coincidence of sets $\{1, q^{-1}, q^{\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\} = \{1, q, q^{-\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\}$ with multiplicities leads to $q^{-1} = q^{-\lambda}e^{i\varphi_j}$ for some $1 \le j_o \le d$. Thus, $\lambda = 1$ and $\{qe^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\} = \{q\}$, whereas $d = 1$,

$$\zeta_M(t) = \frac{1-qt}{(1-t)(1-qt)} = \frac{1}{1-t}$$

and M consists of a single $\mathfrak{G}$-fixed point.

The next corollary establishes that the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to the projective line $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$ for an arbitrary locally finite $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$-module M implies a functional equation for the polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$.

Corollary 31. *Let M be an infinite locally finite module over $\mathfrak{G} = \mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q}/\mathbb{F}_q)$, which satisfies the Riemann Hypothesis Analogue with respect to $\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})$. Then the polynomial ζ-quotient $P_M(t) = \frac{\zeta_M(t)}{\zeta_{\mathbb{P}^1(\overline{\mathbb{F}_q})}(t)} = \sum_{j=0}^{d} a_j t^j \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ of M satisfies the functional equation*

$$P_M(t) = \mathrm{sign}(a_d) P_M\left(\frac{1}{q^{2\lambda}t}\right) q^{\lambda d} t^d \quad \textit{for} \quad \lambda := \log_q \sqrt[d]{|a_d|}.$$

Proof. If $P_M(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1 - q^{\lambda} e^{i\varphi_j} t)$ for some $\varphi_j \in [0, 2\pi)$ then the leading coefficient $\mathrm{LC}(PM(t)) = a_d = (-1)^d q^{\lambda d} e^{i\left(\sum_{j=1}^{d} \varphi_j\right)}$, whereas

$$P_M\left(\frac{1}{q^{2\lambda}t}\right) = \frac{a_d}{q^{2\lambda d} t^d} \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1 - q^{\lambda} e^{-i\varphi_j} t).$$

The polynomial $P_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ has real coefficients and is invariant under the complex conjugation. Thus, the sets $\left\{e^{i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\right\} = \left\{e^{-i\varphi_j} \,|\, 1 \le j \le d\right\}$ coincide when counted with multiplicities and $P_M(t) = \prod_{j=1}^{d}(1 - q^{\lambda} e^{-i\varphi_j} t)$. That allows to express

$$P_M\left(\frac{1}{q^{2\lambda}t}\right) = \frac{a_d}{q^{2\lambda d}} P_M(t) t^{-d}.$$

Making use of $|a_d| = q^{\lambda d}$ and $a_d = \mathrm{sign}(a_d)\,|a_d|$, one concludes that

$$P_M\left(\frac{1}{q^{2\lambda}t}\right) = \frac{\mathrm{sign}(a_d)}{q^{\lambda d}} P_M(t) t^{-d}.$$

□

References

[1] E. Bombieri, Counting Points on Curves over Finite Fields, Sém. Bourbaki, No. 430, 1972/73.

[2] I. Duursma, From weight enumerators to zeta functions, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **111** (2001), 55—73.

[3] A. Kasparian and I. Marinov, Mac Williams identities and Polarized Riemann-Roch Conditions, Preprint.

[4] H. Niederreiter and Ch. Xing, *Algebraic geometry in Coding Theory and Cryptography*, Princeton University Press, 2009.

[5] M Mustaţă, *Zeta Functions in Algebraic Geometry*, Lecture Notes of Mihnea Popa.

[6] Shafarevich, I.R., *Basics of Algebraic Geometry*, Science, Moscow, 1988.

[7] H. Stichtenoth, *Algebraic Function Fields and Codes*, Springer, 1993.